General Boundary Formulation for n-Dimensional Classical Abelian Theory with Corners

Homero G. DÍAZ-MARÍN †‡

† *Escuela Nacional de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey, C.P. 58350 Morelia, México*
E-mail: *homero.diaz@itesm.mx*

‡ *Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, C.P. 58190 Morelia, México*
E-mail: *homero@matmor.unam.mx*

Received October 30, 2014, in final form June 04, 2015; Published online June 24, 2015
http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2015.048

arXiv:1407.4741v4 [math-ph] 24 Jun 2015

Abstract. We propose a general reduction procedure for classical field theories provided with abelian gauge symmetries in a Lagrangian setting. These ideas come from an axiomatic presentation of the general boundary formulation (GBF) of field theories, mostly inspired by topological quantum field theories (TQFT). We construct abelian Yang–Mills theories using this framework. We treat the case for space-time manifolds with smooth boundary components as well as the case of manifolds with corners. This treatment is the GBF analogue of extended TQFTs. The aim for developing this classical formalism is to accomplish, in a future work, geometric quantization at least for the abelian case.

Key words: gauge fields; action; manifolds with corners

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53D30; 58E15; 58E30; 81T13

1 Introduction

In the variational formulation of classical mechanics, time evolution from an "initial" to a "final" state in a symplectic phase space (A,ω) is given by a relation defined by a Lagrangian space L contained in the symplectic product $(A\oplus\overline{A},\omega\oplus-\omega)$. Similarly classical field theories can be formalized rigorously in a symplectic framework. The evolution relation associates "incoming" to "outgoing" *Cauchy boundary data* for the case where space-time M has incoming and outgoing boundary components, $\partial M=\partial M_{\text{in}}\cup\partial M_{\text{out}}$. Fields are valued along the boundary together with their derivatives. This relation defines an isotropic *space of boundary conditions that extend to solutions* in the interior of M, $L_{\tilde{M}}\subset A_{\partial M}=A_{\partial M_{\text{in}}}\times A_{\partial M_{\text{out}}}$, where the symplectic structure, $\omega_{\partial M}=\omega_{\text{in}}\oplus\omega_{\text{out}}$, is formed by certain symplectic structures ω_{in} and ω_{out} defined in $A_{\partial M_{\text{in}}}$ and $A_{\partial M_{\text{out}}}$, respectively. For recent progress from a categorical point of view on this classical formalism in the case of linear symplectic spaces, see for instance [26]. In some cases, degeneracies of the Lagrangian density yield degeneracies of a presymplectic structure $\omega_{\partial M}$, for the Cauchy data $A_{\partial M}$.

A wise observation appearing for the first time in [14], is that it is possible to formulate a symplectic framework for field theories in general space-time *regions* M. Here, general boundaries ∂M are composed of general *hypersurfaces*, which do not necessarily correspond to "in" and "out" space-like boundary components. The spaces $A_{\partial M}$ of 1-jets arising from Cauchy data, namely, Dirichlet and Neumann boundary data, have a presymplectic structure ω_{in}, see [14]. A derivation of a symplectic formalism, was independently rediscovered in the *general boundary formulation* (GBF) for classical theories in [19, 22], this time arising from their quantum

counterparts. Here, the definition of a (pre)symplectic structure is given for the space $\tilde{A}_{\partial M}$ of germs of solutions of a cylinder of the boundary $\partial M_\varepsilon := \partial M \times [0, \varepsilon]$. Axiomatic frameworks incorporating this symplectic formalism appeared in [19, 22], for linear field theories, whereas for the case of affine field theories they appeared in [21]. Another symplectic setting for field theories appeared independently in [5], where it is related to the BFV and BV formalism. Here appears explicitly the distinction for the (pre)symplectic structure for 1-jets and for germs.

The space of germs $\tilde{A}_{\partial M}$ contains much more information than the 1-jets for fields in ∂M. As a consequence, if we consider germs instead of 1-jets, then instead of a symplectic structure $\omega_{\partial M}$, we may have a presymplectic structure $\tilde{\omega}_{\partial M}$. Hence we need to consider the space of germs of boundary conditions $\tilde{A}_{\partial M}$ as a coisotropic space. This space of germs $\tilde{A}_{\partial M}$ needs to be reduced in order to obtain a symplectic space.

We suppose that both degeneracies, those due to germ higher order derivatives as well as those those due to Lagrangian density, are both contained in the kernel of the presymplectic structure $\tilde{\omega}_{\partial M}$ in $\tilde{A}_{\partial M}$. So the reduced space $A_{\partial M}$ is a symplectic space.

Dynamics in the interior of the space-time region M is described as a Lagrangian immersion, $A_{\tilde{M}} \subset A_{\partial M}$ of the boundary data of solutions of the Euler–Lagrange equations. For infinite-dimensional symplectic vector spaces, isotropic spaces are required to be coisotropic in order to be Lagrangian. Isotropy is always satisfied [14], but coisotropy of the immersion $A_{\tilde{M}} \subset A_{\partial M}$ does not hold in general, see counterexamples in [5].

From the quantum side the axiomatic setting for the GBF is inspired by topological quantum field theories (TQFT), see [4] and the approach of G. Segal [25]. We consider objects in the category of $(n-1)$-manifolds, i.e., closed boundary components or hypersurfaces Σ, provided with additional normal structure required by germs of solutions. For instance for field theories without metric dependence we consider gluings by diffeomorphisms of tubular neighborhoods of Σ [17]. Meanwhile, for field theories depending on the metric we consider gluing by isometries of Σ, $\overline{\Sigma'}$ leaving invariant the metric tensor germ along Σ. The gluing of two regions M_1, M_2 can be performed along hypersurfaces $\Sigma \subset M_1$, $\Sigma' \subset M_2$, both isometric oriented manifolds, $\Sigma \cong \overline{\Sigma'}$. Here $\overline{\Sigma'}$ means *reversed orientation*. The precise axiomatic system for quantum field theories along with their classical counterpart appears in [19] and for affine theories in [21].

Corners. This TQFT-inspired approach requires a classification of the basic regions or building blocks used to reconstruct the whole space-time region $M_1 \cup_\Sigma M_2$, by gluing the pieces M_1, M_2, along the boundary hypersurface $\Sigma \cong \overline{\Sigma'}$. This classification from the topological point of view can be achieved at least for the case of two-dimensional surfaces. In higher dimensions, it would be appealing to avoid such classification issues, by considering simpler building blocks, such as n-balls. Unfortunately, the consequence is that we would have to allow gluings of regions along hypersurfaces Σ with nonempty boundaries $\partial\Sigma$. For instance, we can consider the gluing of two n-balls M_1, M_2 along $(n-1)$-balls contained in their boundaries Σ, $\overline{\Sigma'}$. This means that we would have to allow non differentiability and lack of normal derivatives of fields along the $(n-2)$-dimensional *corners* contained in the boundaries $\partial\Sigma$, of boundary faces, $\Sigma \subset \partial M_1$, $\Sigma' \subset \partial M_2$. A well suited language for describing such phenomena, consists in treating regions M_i as *manifolds with corners*. For TQFT the attempt to deal with the case of corners gives rise to extended topological quantum field theories. A possible approach for two-dimensional theories is given for instance in [11, 16]. There is also a specific formulation for 2-dimensional with corners in [20]. Our aim is to extend this last approach to higher dimensions.

Gauge field theories. When we consider principal connections on a principal bundle $P \to M$, with structure compact Lie group G, they are represented by sections of the quotient affine 1-jet bundle $J^1P/G \to M$. In this case the space of sections K_M is an affine space. Furthermore for quadratic Lagrangian densities we will have that the space of solutions, A_M, is an *affine space*. This enables us to consider a GBF formalism for affine spaces such as is described in [21].

We give a step further in relation to [21] since we consider gauge symmetries, G_M, acting on A_M. Variational gauge symmetries are vertical automorphisms of the bundle P, that in turn yield vertical automorphisms of the bundle J^1P/G. Infinitesimal gauge symmetries should preserve the action, $S_M\colon K_M \to \mathbb{R}$. They can be identified with vertical G-invariant vector fields $\vec{X}$ on P, as well as with sections of $VP/G \to M$, where VP is the vertical tangent bundle of $P \to M$. Action preservation follows from invariance of the Lagrangian density under vertical vector fields act on J^1P/G.

When we consider germs of solutions on the boundary, we have a group of variational gauge symmetries $\tilde{G}_{\partial M}$. By taking the quotient by the degeneracies we obtain a gauge group action $G_{\partial M}$ of symplectomorphisms on $(A_{\partial M}, \omega_{\partial M})$. To make sense of the quotient space A_M/G_M may be problematic in non-abelian gauge field theories, also taking the related reduced boundary conditions $A_{\partial M}/G_{\partial M}$. The issue of gluing solutions also needs to be clarified.

Main results. Our aim is to give an axiomatic GBF formulation for gauge field theories in the case of space-time regions with corners. For the classical theory we will consider the following simplifications: Abelian structure groups and affine structure for the space of solutions to Euler–Lagrange equations. We use this axiomatic setting to construct abelian theories. The most general setting of nonabelian structure groups for actions remains a conjecture even in the classical case, see [6]. Along this program we study the case of smooth space-time regions without corners as well as the case of regions with corners.

As we were writing this article we realized that Lagrangian embedding for the abelian case of actions and other important cases such as BF and Chern–Simmons were actually proved in [6]. Here the authors use Lorenz gauge fixing and use Dirichlet boundary condition for 1-forms. Thus by Friedrichs–Morrey–Hodge theory they describe the space of boundary conditions that extend to solutions modulo gauge, $A_{\tilde{M}}/G_{\partial M} \subset A_{\partial M}/G_{\partial M}$, as harmonic forms on ∂M extendable to cocolsed forms on M. $A_{\tilde{M}}/G_{\partial M}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of two spaces: On one hand a finite-dimensional subspace of $H^1(M, \partial M)$. On the other hand an infinite-dimensional space of closed forms in ∂M, see Proposition 4 in the appendix of [6]. Independently, we use axial gauge fixing and Neumann boundary conditions for 1-forms to describe the space $A_{\tilde{M}}/G_{\partial M}$ as a direct sum of two spaces: On one and a finite-dimensional subspace of $H^1(\partial M)$. On the other hand an infinite-dimensional space consisting of coclosed 1-forms. Thus we give a complementary view, although that was not our original aim. The proof in [6] is short and briefly describes the main ideas. We give a more detailed proof, since our aim is to exhibit the explicit application of an axiomatic system that seems sketched in [5]. We give explicit calculations in terms of local coordinate decomposition. Finally our results extend to regions that are manifolds with corners. This is essential for the physically most relevant case of gluing, where the component manifolds as well as the composite manifold have the topology of a ball.

A related work [1], describes Killing vector field acting on 1-forms with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. The author thanks the referee for pointing out the reference [23], where gauge action is described for spin manifolds with boundary in the context of M theories.

Description of sections. Section 2 consists of a review of the symplectic formalism for classical field theories together with an exposition of the language of abelian gauge field theories and manifolds with corners. In Section 3 we exhibit the axioms of an abelian gauge field theory which is divided in two cases: the case where regions are considered as smooth manifolds with boundary and the case where regions are manifolds with corners. We construct the abelian theory using this axiomatic system. In Section 4, we focus on the kinematics of gauge fields. This section involves local considerations where Moser's arguments on the transport flow for volume forms is used. A similar argument due to Dacorogna–Moser for manifolds with boundary is crucial for the corners case. Dynamics of gauge fields is explored in Section 5. We describe the symplectic reduction of the space of boundary conditions and emphasize the proofs of the Lagrangian

embedding of solutions. This last result uses Friedrichs–Morrey–Hodge theory adapted to the case of corners. Finally we review the special case of Yang–Mills theory in dimension 2 in Section 6 for illustration.

2 Classical abelian gauge field theories

For the sake of completeness, we summarize the symplectic formalism for Lagrangian field theories in the following paragraphs. Local descriptions for the case of the space of Dirichlet–Neumann conditions appear in [14]. On the other hand the discussion of the space of germs of solutions in the axiomatic setting appears in [21, 22]. Parallel developments appear also in [5]. We adopt an abstract coordinate-free description of the (pre)symplectic structure for boundary data, by means of a suitable cohomological point of view.

2.1 The symplectic setting for classical Lagrangian field theories

Classical field theory assumes that over an n-dimensional space-time region M, there exists a "configuration space", K_M, of fields $\varphi \in K_M$. The word "space" used for referring to K_M usually denotes an infinite-dimensional Frèchet manifold, defined as a space of sections of a smooth bundle E over M. It also assumes the existence of a *Lagrangian density*, $\Lambda \in \Omega^n(J^1M)$, depending on the first-jet $j^1\varphi \in J^1M$, i.e., on the first order derivatives $\partial\varphi$ and on the values of the fields φ. The *action* corresponding to the Lagrangian density is then defined as

$$S_M(\varphi) = \int_M j^1(\varphi)^*\Lambda.$$

On the other hand we consider the factorization of the space of k-forms over the l-jet manifold J^lM as

$$\Omega^k\big(J^lM\big) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{k} \Omega^r_H\big(J^lM\big) \otimes \Omega^{k-r}_V\big(J^lM\big),$$

where the complex $\Omega^k_H(J^lK_M)$ (resp. $\Omega^k_V(J^lK_M)$) corresponds to *horizontal* (*resp. vertical*) *k-forms*. For instance, using local coordinates x^i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, for the manifold M, take $(x^i; u^a; u^a_i)$ as local coordinates for J^1M. Then *horizontal forms* have as a basis the exterior product of the dx^i. Meanwhile for *vertical forms* in J^1M, have as basis the exterior product of du^a, du^a_i.

The *horizontal* (*resp. vertical*) *differential* is induced by the coordinate decomposition

$$d_H\colon\ \Omega^k_H\big(J^lM\big) \to \Omega^{k+1}_H\big(J^{l+1}M\big)$$

(resp. $d_V := d - d_H$). For instance, for horizontal 0-forms we have

$$d_H := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{a=1}^{r} u^a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^a} \right) dx^i\colon\ \Omega^0_H\big(J^0M\big) \to \Omega^1_H\big(J^1M\big),$$

where r equals the dimension of each fiber of the bundle E. Thus, vertical k-forms vanish on horizontal vector fields $\vec{X}$ such that $d_V(\vec{X}) = 0$. This decomposition yields a *variational*

bicomplex, see for instance [12],



Denote the *space of Euler–Lagrange solutions* as

$$A_M = \left\{\varphi \in K_M \,|\, \left(j^2\varphi\right)^* (d_V\Lambda) = 0\right\}.$$

In the case we are dealing with the space of connections A_M is an affine space. The corresponding linear space is denoted as L_M.

Consider the image $d_V\Lambda \in \Omega^n_H(J^1M)\otimes\Omega^1_V(J^2M)$, of the Lagrangian density, $\Lambda \in \Omega^n_H(J^1M)$. Take a preimage

$$\theta_\Lambda \in d_H^{-1}\circ d_V\Lambda \in \Omega^{n-1}_H\big(J^0M\big)\otimes\Omega^1_V\big(J^1M\big).$$

Of course the representative $\theta_\Lambda \in d_H^{-1}\circ d_V\Lambda$ depends just on the d_H-cohomology class of the Lagrangian density. By integration by parts, the differential of the action dS_M, evaluated on variations $\delta\varphi = X \in T_\varphi K_M$, may be decomposed as

$$dS_M(\delta\varphi) = (dS_M)_\varphi(X) = \int_M \big(j^2\varphi\big)^*\big(\iota_{(j^2\vec{X})}d_V\Lambda\big) + \int_{\partial M}\big(j^1\varphi\big)^*\big(\iota_{\vec{X}}\theta_\Lambda\big).$$

Locally each variation $\delta\varphi$ is identified with a vector field, $\vec{X}$, along the section $j^1\varphi$ in J^1M. This $\vec{X}$ in turn induces a vector field $j^2\vec{X}$, the 2-jet prolongation of the vector field $\vec{X}$, along $j^2\varphi$, on the 2-jet manifold J^2M. Both $\vec{X}$ and $j^2\vec{X}$ vanish on horizontal 1-forms. This shows that total variations consist of two contributions. One kind of variation is the one localized on the bulk of the fields corresponding to Euler–Lagrange equations. Another kind of contribution to the variation comes from the field and its normal derivatives on the boundary ∂M.

Let us concentrate on the boundary term of the variation. The calculus on the 1-jet total space, J^1M, translates to the calculus on the infinite-dimensional space, K_M, so that θ_Λ induces a 1-form

$$(dS_M)_\varphi(X) = \int_{\partial M}\big(j^1\varphi\big)^*\big(\iota_{\vec{X}}\theta_\Lambda\big)$$

for variations $X \in T_\varphi A_M$ of 1-jets of solutions restricted to the boundary. This enables us to consider a 1-form dS_M, for variations $X \in T_\varphi A_M$.

For an $(n-1)$-dimensional boundary manifold Σ, the boundary conditions for solutions on a *tubular neighborhood* $\Sigma_\varepsilon \cong \Sigma\times[0,\varepsilon]$, can be described as germs of solutions.

The affine *space of germs of solutions on the boundary,* and the corresponding linear space are defined as the injective limits

$$\tilde{A}_\Sigma := \varinjlim A_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}, \qquad \tilde{L}_\Sigma := \varinjlim L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon},$$

where the inclusion of tubular neighborhoods, $\Sigma_\varepsilon \subset \Sigma_{\varepsilon'}$, for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon'$, induces an inclusion $A_{\Sigma_{\varepsilon'}} \subset A_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$. Similarly, there is an inclusion for the linear spaces $L_{\Sigma_{\varepsilon'}} \subset L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$.

The submersion of variations of germs $\tilde{X} \in T_\varphi \tilde{A}_\Sigma$, onto variations of jets $\vec{X} \in T_\varphi A_\Sigma$, leads to the definition of the 1-form on $\tilde{A}_{\partial M}$,

$$\big(\tilde{\theta}_\Sigma\big)_\varphi(\tilde{X}) := (dS_M)_\varphi(X).$$

Ultimately, our purpose is to consider the *presymplectic* structure on $\tilde{A}_\Sigma$,

$$\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma = d\tilde{\theta}_\Sigma.$$

There are degeneracies of the presymplectic structure $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$ due to the degeneracy of the Lagrangian density and the degeneracies arising from considering arbitrary order derivatives for the germs of solutions. We suppose that these degeneracies altogether can be eliminated by taking the quotient by $K_{\omega_\Sigma} := \ker \omega_\Sigma$. Then we obtain a symplectic space $(A_\Sigma, \omega_\Sigma)$.

Consider an action map $S_M(\varphi)$ defined for connections φ of a principal bundle P over M with compact abelian structure group G. We denote as A_M, the space of Euler–Lagrange solutions in the interior of the region M. In general, we suppose that ∂M is not empty. Hence when we restrict the action functional S_M, from the configuration field space K_M to the space of solutions A_M, it induces a non-constant map

$$S_M \colon \ A_M \to \mathbb{R}.$$

On the other hand we have the groups, G_M, of *gauge symmetries on regions* acting in A_M the solutions on the bulk that come from the Euler–Lagrange variational symmetries of the Lagrangian density, see [12, Definition 2.3.1]. Infinitesimal symmetries can be identified with G-invariant vertical vector fields on P, i.e., with vertical vector fields acting on J^1P/G and preserving the Lagrangian density.

By taking the tubular neighborhood, Σ_ε as the region M, those symmetries by the group G_{Σ_ε} act on germs of solutions in A_{Σ_ε} hence in $\tilde{A}_\Sigma$. By taking the quotient by the stabilizer of the $\tilde{A}_\Sigma$, we obtain a group of *gauge symmetries on hypersurfaces*,

$$\tilde{G}_\Sigma := \varinjlim G_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$$

acting on $\tilde{A}_\Sigma$.

Once we have taken the quotient of the space of germs $\tilde{A}_\Sigma$, and its corresponding linear space $\tilde{L}_\Sigma$, by the degeneracy space K_{ω_Σ}, we get a space A_Σ, and a gauge group G_Σ acting on A_Σ. The group $\tilde{G}_\Sigma$ decomposes into two kind of symmetries: those coming from the degeneracy of the presymplectic structure and those preserving the symplectic structure coming from vector fields preserving the Lagrangian density. This means that there is a normal subgroup $K_{\omega_\Sigma} \subset \tilde{G}_\Sigma$, that takes into account all degeneracies. The K_{ω_Σ}-orbits on $\tilde{A}_\Sigma$ consist of the integral leafs of the characteristic distribution generated by the kernel of the presymplectic structure $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$. Meanwhile, the quotient group G_Σ acts by symplectomorphisms on A_Σ with respect to the symplectic structure ω_Σ.

2.2 Regions with and without corners

In the following presentation of the axiomatic system for classical Lagrangian field theories, we will consider regions and hypersurfaces as *manifolds with corners*. We adopt the definition of stratified spaces in [2]. In order to establish the notation that will be used along this work we sketch the definitions of manifolds with corners, for a more detailed description see the cited reference.

Hypersurfaces are $(n-1)$-dimensional topological manifolds Σ which decompose as a union of $(n-1)$-dimensional manifolds with corners,

$$\Sigma = \cup_{i=1}^{m} \Sigma^i \cong \sqcup_{i=1}^{m} \check{\Sigma}^i / \sim_{\mathcal{P}} .$$

This union in turn is obtained by gluing of $(n-1)$-dimensional manifolds with corners: $\check{\Sigma}^i$, $\check{\Sigma}^j$, along pairs of $(n-2)$-faces. This can be done by means of an equivalence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{P}}$, defined by a certain set $\mathcal{P}$ of pairs (i,j), $i \neq j$. More precisely, non trivial equivalence identifications take place for the set

$$\cup_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{P}} \Sigma^{ij} := \cup_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{P}} \Sigma^i \cap \Sigma^j.$$

This means that gluings of the faces Σ^i, Σ^j, take place at $(n-2)$-faces $\Sigma^{ij} \subset \partial\Sigma^i$, $\Sigma^{ji} \subset \partial\Sigma^j$, $\Sigma^{ji} \cong \Sigma^{ij}$.

Consider a hypersurface Σ as a stratified space consisting of a union $\cup_{i=1}^{m} \Sigma^i$ of manifolds with corners $\check{\Sigma}^i$ identified along their their faces $\partial\check{\Sigma}^i$. Denote the structure of *stratified spaces*, as $|\Sigma|$ respectively. For a stratified space $|\Sigma|$ we denote the k-dimensional *skeleton* as $|\Sigma|^{(k)}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, notice that $|\Sigma|^{(n-1)} \cong \Sigma$ and

$$|\Sigma|^{(n-2)} = \cup_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{P}} \Sigma^{ij} \subset \Sigma$$

corresponds to the corners set. We adopt the notation for the set of k-dimensional *faces* as $|\Sigma|^k$. Thus

$$|\Sigma|^{n-1} = \left\{\check{\Sigma}^1, \ldots, \check{\Sigma}^m\right\}$$

is the set of $(n-1)$-dimensional faces and

$$|\Sigma|^{n-2} = \left\{\check{\Sigma}^{ij} \,|\, (i,j) \in \mathcal{P}\right\}$$

is the set of $(n-2)$-faces. Here $\check{\Sigma}^{ij} \subset \check{\Sigma}^i$ is the preimage of the corner $\Sigma^{ij} = \Sigma^i \cap \Sigma^j \subset \Sigma$, $(i,j) \in \mathcal{P}$.

A *region* is an n-dimensional manifold with corners M. Its boundary ∂M, is a topological manifold. The corresponding stratified space structures are $|M|$, $|\partial M|$. Each hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \partial M$ consists of the union of faces $\Sigma^i \subset \partial M$, which are manifolds with corners.

An abstract closed smooth hypersurface Σ, not necessarily related to a region M, may be considered as a component of the boundary of a cylinder $\Sigma \times [0,\varepsilon]$, $\partial\Sigma = \varnothing$ [17].

The notion of a cylinder can be generalized for a manifold with corners Σ, $\partial\Sigma \neq \varnothing$. A *regular cylinder* consists of

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon := \left\{(s,t) \in \Sigma \times [0,\varepsilon] \,|\, t \in [0, \epsilon(s)\varepsilon], s \in \Sigma\right\} \subset \Sigma \times [0,\varepsilon], \tag{2.1}$$

where $\epsilon\colon \Sigma \to [0,1]$ is an increasing smooth function such that $\epsilon^{-1}(0) = \partial\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^\epsilon := \epsilon^{-1}(1) \subset \Sigma$ is a smooth retract deformation of Σ.

Thus Σ corresponds to one *face* of the n-dimensional manifold with corners given by the regular cylinder $\widehat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon$. In general $\partial\Sigma$, $\partial\Sigma^i$ may be nonempty.

For smooth hypersurfaces $\Sigma \subset \partial M$ we consider tubular neighborhoods [17], $\Sigma_\varepsilon \subset M$ with diffeomorphisms

$$X\colon\ \Sigma \times [0,\varepsilon] \to \Sigma_\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, a *regular tubular neighborhood* for a face $\Sigma \subset \partial M$, consists of a homeomorphism that becomes a diffeomorphism outside the corners $\partial\Sigma \subset \widehat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon$

$$X\colon\ \widehat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon \to \Sigma_\varepsilon$$

Recall that the *corners* of the region M lie in the union of the $(n-2)$-dimensional submanifolds, $\cup_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{P}}\Sigma^{ij}$.

The *gluing* of a region M along two nonintersecting faces Σ_0, Σ_0', can be defined. The more general gluing along two nonintersecting *hypersurfaces* Σ, Σ', may also be defined. Nonetheless, when we consider, for instance, the gluing of Riemannian metrics, this gluing along general hypersurfaces may be problematic. For if we glue faces with non intersecting boundaries $\partial\Sigma_0 \cap \partial\Sigma_0' = \varnothing$, then conic singularities of the metric along the corners may arise in the resulting space-time region.

We consider gluings along nonintersecting faces and do not consider gluings along hypersurfaces.

3 Axiomatic system proposal

Now we give a detailed description of the axiomatic framework for classical gauge field theories. Axioms A1–A9 describe the kinematics of the classical theory, while Axioms A10–A12 describe the dynamics for gauge fields.

3.1 GBF Axioms

We consider space-time regions M that are manifolds with corners of dimension n, as well as hypersurfaces Σ that are topological $(n-1)$-dimensional topological manifolds with stratified space structure $|\Sigma|$.

A1 *Affine structure.* For space-time regions M we have the affine spaces A_M with the associated linear spaces L_M of Euler–Lagrange solutions. On the other hand, for hypersurfaces Σ we have affine spaces A_Σ with associated linear spaces $\tilde{L}_\Sigma$, of boundary conditions. There are also affine maps $\tilde{a}_M\colon A_M \to \tilde{A}_{\partial M}$, as well as linear maps $\tilde{r}_M\colon L_M \to \tilde{L}_{\partial M}$.

A2 *Presymplectic structure.* For every hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \partial M$, there is a presymplectic structure $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$ on $\tilde{A}_\Sigma$ invariant under $\tilde{L}_\Sigma$ actions. Equivalently we can consider $\tilde{L}_\Sigma$ as a presymplectic vector space with presymplectic structure denoted also as $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$.

A3 *Symplectic structure.* There is a group K_{ω_Σ} acting freely by translations on $\tilde{A}_\Sigma$, such that K_{ω_Σ} is isomorphic to the closed linear subspace $\ker\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma \subset \tilde{L}_\Sigma$. So $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$ induces a symplectic structure, ω_Σ, on the orbit space

$$A_\Sigma := \tilde{A}_\Sigma / K_{\omega_\Sigma}.$$

This space is an affine space modeled on the linear space $L_\Sigma := \tilde{L}_\Sigma / K_{\omega_\Sigma}$. By taking the quotients, the maps $\tilde{a}_M$ and $\tilde{r}_M$ induce affine and linear maps $a_M\colon A_M \to A_{\partial M}$, $r_M\colon A_M \to A_{\partial M}$, respectively.

A4 *Symplectic potential.* There is a symplectic potential, i.e., an L_Σ-valued 1-form $\theta_\Sigma(\varphi,\cdot)$ for each $\varphi \in A_\Sigma$, identified with a linear map $\theta_\Sigma(\varphi,\cdot)\colon L_\Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$. There is also a bilinear map $[\cdot,\cdot]_\Sigma\colon L_\Sigma \times L_\Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$[\phi,\phi']_\Sigma + \theta_\Sigma(\eta,\phi') = \theta_\Sigma(\phi+\eta,\phi'), \qquad \eta \in A_\Sigma, \phi,\phi' \in L_\Sigma$$

and

$$\omega_\Sigma(\phi,\phi') = \frac{1}{2}[\phi,\phi']_\Sigma - \frac{1}{2}[\phi',\phi]_\Sigma, \qquad \phi,\phi' \in L_\Sigma. \tag{3.1}$$

There exists an action map $S_M\colon A_M \to \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$S_M(\eta) = S_M(\eta') - \frac{1}{2}\theta_{\partial M}(\eta, \eta - \eta') - \frac{1}{2}\theta_{\partial M}(\eta', \eta - \eta') \tag{3.2}$$

and also $S_M(\eta) = S_M(\eta')$ for $a_M(\eta) = a_M(\eta')$.

A5 *Involution.* For each hypersurface Σ there exists an involution $A_\Sigma \to A_{\overline{\Sigma}}$, where $\overline{\Sigma}$ is the hypersurface with reversed orientation. There is also a linear involution $L_\Sigma \to L_{\overline{\Sigma}}$. We have: $\theta_{\overline{\Sigma}}(\eta, \phi) = -\theta_\Sigma(\eta, \phi)$ and $[\phi, \phi']_{\overline{\Sigma}} = -[\phi, \phi']_\Sigma$.

A6 *Disjoint regions.* For a disjoint union, $M = M_1 \sqcup M_2$, there is a bijection $A_{M_1} \times A_{M_2} \to A_M$ and compatible linear isomorphism $L_{M_1} \times L_{M_2} \to L_M$, such that $a_M = a_{M_1} \times a_{M_2}$ and $r_M = r_{M_1} \times r_{M_2}$, satisfy associative conditions. For the action map we have $S_M = S_{M_1} + S_{M_2}$.

A7 *Factorization of fields on hypersurfaces.* For a hypersurface Σ obtained as the quotient $\check{\Sigma}^1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \check{\Sigma}^k$ by an equivalence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{P}}$, define $A_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} := A_{\check{\Sigma}^1} \times \cdots \times A_{\check{\Sigma}^m}$, $L_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} := L_{\check{\Sigma}^1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{\check{\Sigma}^m}$. Then there are affine gluing maps $a_{\Sigma,|\Sigma|^{n-1}}\colon A_\Sigma \to A_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}}$, and compatible linear maps $r_{\Sigma,|\Sigma|^{n-1}}\colon L_\Sigma \to L_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}}$ with commuting diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & A_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} \\ & {\scriptstyle a_{\Sigma,|\Sigma|^{n-1}}} \nearrow & \downarrow \\ A_\Sigma & \longrightarrow & A_{\check{\Sigma}^i} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} & & L_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} \\ & {\scriptstyle r_{\Sigma,|\Sigma|^{n-1}}} \nearrow & \downarrow \\ L_\Sigma & \longrightarrow & L_{\check{\Sigma}^i} \end{array}$$

We also have the relation

$$[\cdot,\cdot]_\Sigma = r^*_{\Sigma;|\Sigma|^{n-1}}\big([\cdot,\cdot]_{\check{\Sigma}^1} + \cdots + [\cdot,\cdot]_{\check{\Sigma}^m}\big), \qquad \theta_\Sigma = r^*_{\Sigma;|\Sigma|^{n-1}}\big(\theta_{\check{\Sigma}^1} + \cdots + \theta_{\check{\Sigma}^m}\big) \tag{3.3}$$

we denote A_{Σ^i} as the image of A_Σ into $A_{\check{\Sigma}^i}$, and similarly L_{Σ^i}.

A8 *Gauge action.* There are groups $\tilde{G}_\Sigma$ acting on $\tilde{A}_\Sigma$ preserving the affine structure and the presymplectic structure $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$ such that $K_{\omega_\Sigma} \trianglelefteq \tilde{G}_\Sigma$. The quotient group

$$G_\Sigma := \tilde{G}_\Sigma / K_{\omega_\Sigma}$$

acts on A_Σ, preserving the symplectic structure ω_Σ. There is a group, G_M, of gauge variational symmetries for S_M acting on the space of solutions A_M. There is a group homomorphism $h_M\colon G_M \to G_{\partial M}$. For the map $a_M\colon A_M \to A_{\partial M}$ the compatibility of gauge group actions is given by the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_M \times G_M & \longrightarrow & A_{\partial M} \times G_{\partial M} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_M & \longrightarrow & A_{\partial M} \end{array}$$

There is also a compatible action on the corresponding linear spaces $r_M\colon L_M \to L_{\partial M}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_M \times G_M & \longrightarrow & L_{\partial M} \times G_{\partial M} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_M & \longrightarrow & L_{\partial M} \end{array}$$

A9 *Factorization of gauge actions on hypersurfaces.* For the case of regions with corners there is a homomorphism $h_{\Sigma;|\Sigma|^{n-1}}\colon G_\Sigma \to G_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}}$ from the direct product group $G_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} := G_{\check{\Sigma}^1} \times \cdots \times G_{\check{\Sigma}^m}$ onto G_Σ coming from homomorphisms

$$h_{|\Sigma|^{n-1};\check{\Sigma}^i}\colon\ G_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} \to G_{\check{\Sigma}^i}$$

and commuting diagrams



and



and similar commuting diagrams for actions on linear spaces



.

There is an involution of the gauge groups $G_\Sigma \to G_{\overline{\Sigma}}$, compatible with the action.

We denote the image $h_{|\Sigma|^{n-1},\check{\Sigma}^i}(G_\Sigma) \subset G_{\check{\Sigma}^i}$ as G_{Σ^i}.

A10 *Lagrangian relation modulo gauge.* Let $A_{\tilde{M}}$ be the image $a_M(A_M) \subset A_{\partial M}$ of boundary conditions on ∂M that extend to solutions on the bulk M. Let $L_{\tilde{M}}$ be the corresponding linear subspace that is the image $r_M(L_M) \subset L_{\partial M}$. The subspace $L_{\tilde{M}} \subset L_{\partial M}$ is Lagrangian.

The zero component of the $G_{\partial M}$-orbit is isomorphic to $C^\perp_{\partial M}$, the symplectic orthogonal complement of a coisotropic subspace $C_{\partial M} \subset L_{\partial M}$. There is a Lagrangian reduced subspace isomorphic to

$$L_{\tilde{M}} \cap C_{\partial M}/L_{\tilde{M}} \cap C^\perp_{\partial M}$$

of the symplectic reduced space $C_{\partial M}/C^\perp_{\partial M}$.

A11 *Locality of gauge fields.* Let M_1 be the region that can be obtained by the gluing of M along the disjoint faces, $\Sigma_0, \overline{\Sigma'_0} \subset \partial M$, where $\Sigma'_0 \cong \Sigma_0$. Then there is an injective affine map, $a_{M;\Sigma_0,\overline{\Sigma'_0}} \colon A_{M_1} \hookrightarrow A_M$, a compatible linear map, $r_{M;\Sigma_0,\overline{\Sigma'_0}} \colon L_{M_1} \hookrightarrow L_M$, and a homomorphism $h_{M;\Sigma_0,\overline{\Sigma'_0}} \colon G_{M_1} \hookrightarrow G_M$, with exact sequences

$$A_{M_1} \hookrightarrow A_M \rightrightarrows A_{\Sigma_0}, \qquad L_{M_1} \hookrightarrow L_M \rightrightarrows L_{\Sigma_0}, \qquad G_{M_1} \hookrightarrow G_M \rightrightarrows G_{\Sigma_0},$$

where we consider the involution $A_{\overline{\Sigma'_0}} \to A_{\Sigma_0}$, for the second arrow on the double map. Recall that A_{Σ_0} is the image in $A_{\check{\Sigma}_0}$. We consider the gluing of the actions



compatible with the actions on linear spaces



and also $S_{M_1} = S_M \circ a_{M;\Sigma_0;\overline{\Sigma_0'}}$.

A12 *Gluing of gauge fields.* Let M_1, M be regions with corners M_1 is obtained by gluing M along hypersurfaces $\Sigma_0, \overline{\Sigma_0'} \subset \partial M$. The following diagrams commute



where if $|\partial M|^{n-1} = \check{\Sigma}_0 \sqcup \overline{\check{\Sigma}'_0} \sqcup (\check{\Sigma}^1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \check{\Sigma}^r)$, and $|\partial M_1|^{n-1} = \check{\Sigma}^1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \check{\Sigma}^r$, then the map $a_{|\partial M|^{n-1},|\partial M_1|^{n-1}} \colon A_{|\partial M|^{n-1}} \to A_{|\partial M_1|^{n-1}}$ equals the canonical inclusion

$$A_{\check{\Sigma}^1} \times \cdots \times A_{\check{\Sigma}^r} \subset A_{\check{\Sigma}_0} \times A_{\overline{\check{\Sigma}'_0}} \times \big(A_{\check{\Sigma}^1} \times \cdots \times A_{\check{\Sigma}^r}\big).$$

We have similar inclusions

$$r_{|\partial M|^{n-1},|\partial M_1|^{n-1}} \colon\ L_{|\partial M|^{n-1}} \to L_{|\partial M_1|^{n-1}},$$
$$h_{|\partial M|^{n-1},|\partial M_1|^{n-1}} \colon\ G_{|\partial M|^{n-1}} \to G_{|\partial M_1|^{n-1}}.$$

Compatibility for the gluing of the actions of the gauge groups is described by the commuting diagrams:





3.2 Further discussion of the axioms

Axioms A1–A7 are just a restatement of Axioms C1 to C6 for a classical setting of affine (linear) field theories in [21]. Some clarifications are added: In Axiom A2 we consider presymplectic spaces of connections instead of symplectic spaces. We do not consider Hilbert space structures since we are not introducing yet prequantization.

Some comments can be made about postulate Axiom A4. The translation rule of the 1-form $\theta_{\partial M}$ can be deduced from the translation rule for the differential dS_M of the action map. This in turn can be deduced from (3.2). This last relation could be stated as a primordial property and arises from considering a quadratic Lagrangian density Λ. The affine structure for the space of solutions A_M can also be deduced from this condition on Λ.

In Axiom A7 we adapt the decomposition stated in Axiom C3 for the corners case.

The set of corners correspond to the $(n-2)$-dimensional faces $\Sigma^{ij} := \Sigma^i \cap \Sigma^j$, $(i,j) \in \mathcal{P}$. The lack of surjectivity for dotted arrows in Axiom A7 comes from the non differentiability of the hypersurface Σ along the corners $|\Sigma|^{(n-2)}$ in the intersections $\Sigma^i \cap \Sigma^j$, $(i,j) \in \mathcal{P}$.

Axiom A8 introduces the gauge symmetries. Axiom A9 presents the decomposition and involution properties for gauge actions on the boundary. Finally, Axioms A11 and A12 are derivations for the locality and gluing rule of gauge fields arising from the gluing Axiom C7.

Locality arguments for gauge fields is used in Axioms A8, A11 and A12. They deserve further clarification. For instance in Axiom A11, the existence of the exact sequence is not trivial and it is derived from locality for connections in A_M and gauge actions in G_M. From the inclusions $\partial M_\varepsilon \subset M$ of regular tubular neighborhoods we get the following exact sequences



If we consider the maps

$$A_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow \tilde{A}_\Sigma \twoheadrightarrow A_\Sigma,$$

then we can induce the sequence proposed in the axiom, when $\varepsilon \to 0$. Recall that $\tilde{A}_\Sigma$ is an inductive limit, and A_Σ is a quotient of $\tilde{A}_\Sigma$. For Axiom A8 similar arguments using the following

commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_M \times G_M & \longrightarrow & A_{\partial M_\varepsilon} \times G_{\partial M_\varepsilon} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_M & \longrightarrow & A_{\partial M_\varepsilon} \end{array}$$

Axiom A8 arises from *locality*: there is an embedding of gauge symmetries in M as local gauge symmetries in a tubular neighborhood ∂M_ε, and then there is an inclusion $G_{\partial M_\varepsilon} \subset \tilde{G}_{\partial M}$. Finally symmetries from G_M acting on germs yield symmetries in the quotient group $G_{\partial M}$.

Axiom A10 encodes the dynamics of gauge fields since it is an adapted version of the Lagrangian embedding to the symplectic space $A_{\partial M}$ considered in Axiom C5. In Axiom A10 we use the notion of reduced Lagrangian space, see [26]. We could also postulate this dynamics axiom as follows.

There exists a symplectic closed subspace $\Phi_{A_{\partial M}} \subset L_{\partial M}$, such that $L_{\tilde{M}}$ intersects transversally the space $\Phi_{A_{\partial M}}$. Hence $L_{\tilde{M}} \cap \Phi_{A_{\partial M}} \subset \Phi_{A_{\partial M}}$ is a Lagrangian subspace. Furthermore every $G_{\partial M}$-orbit intersects $\Phi_{A_{\partial M}}$ is a discrete set. We also call $\Phi_{A_{\partial M}}$ a *gauge-fixing space* for the gauge symmetries $G_{\partial M}$.

3.3 Simplifications in the absence of corners

As we mentioned previously for some axioms, namely Axioms A7, A9 and A12, we will consider separately two cases:

Smooth case. Regions M and hypersurfaces are smooth manifolds of dimension n and $n-1$ respectively, Σ is closed.

Corners case. Regions M are n-dimensional manifolds with corners, and hypersurfaces are $(n-1)$-dimensional topological manifolds Σ with stratified space structure $|\Sigma|$.

We write down explicitly these axioms in the smooth case, where regions M and hypersurfaces Σ are smooth manifolds.

A7′ Suppose that an $(n-1)$-dimensional hypersurface Σ decomposes as a disjoint union

$$\Sigma := \Sigma^1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Sigma^m$$

of connected components $\Sigma^1, \ldots, \Sigma^m$. Define $A_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} := A_{\Sigma^1} \times \cdots \times A_{\Sigma^m}$, $L_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} := L_{\Sigma^1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{\Sigma^m}$. Then there are linear and affine isomorphisms respectively

$$r_{\Sigma;|\Sigma|^{n-1}} \colon\ L_\Sigma \to L_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}}, \qquad a_{\Sigma;|\Sigma|^{n-1}} \colon\ A_\Sigma \to A_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}}$$

such that (3.3) holds.

A9′ For the case without corners $|\Sigma|^{n-1} \cong \Sigma$ and the direct product group $G_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} := G_{\Sigma^1} \times \cdots \times G_{\Sigma^m}$ is isomorphic to G_Σ with a gluing homomorphisms $h_{\Sigma;|\Sigma|^{n-1}} \colon G_\Sigma \to G_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}}$ with compatibility commuting diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_\Sigma \times G_\Sigma & \longleftarrow & A_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} \times G_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_\Sigma & \longleftarrow & A_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} \end{array}$$

and analogous compatibility diagrams for actions on linear spaces $L_{\partial M}$, $L_{|\partial M|^{n-1}}$.

A12′ Let M_1, M be regions without corners as above with gluing along hypersurfaces Σ, $\overline{\Sigma'} \subset \partial M$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{M_1} & \longrightarrow & A_M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_{\partial M_1} & \longleftarrow & A_{\partial M} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} G_{M_1} & \longrightarrow & G_M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_{\partial M_1} & \longleftarrow & G_{\partial M} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} L_{M_1} & \longrightarrow & L_M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{\partial M_1} & \longleftarrow & L_{\partial M} \end{array}$$

There is also a compatibility for the gluing of the actions of the gauge groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_{M_1} & & \longrightarrow & & A_M \\ & \nwarrow & & \nearrow & \\ & A_{M_1} \times G_{M_1} & \longrightarrow & A_M \times G_M & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & A_{\partial M_1} \times G_{\partial M_1} & \longleftarrow & A_{\partial M} \times G_{\partial M} & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ A_{\partial M_1} & & \longleftarrow & & A_{\partial M} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} L_{M_1} & & \longrightarrow & & L_M \\ & \nwarrow & & \nearrow & \\ & L_{M_1} \times G_{M_1} & \longrightarrow & L_M \times G_M & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & L_{\partial M_1} \times G_{\partial M_1} & \longleftarrow & L_{\partial M} \times G_{\partial M} & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ L_{\partial M_1} & & \longleftarrow & & A_{\partial M} \end{array}$$

4 Kinematics of gauge fields

In this section we consider affine field theories (comment of Axiom A4). The action that is used as a test case comes from the Lagrangian density. We consider gauge principal bundles on a compact manifold M provided with a Riemannian metric h, nonempty boundary ∂M and compact *abelian* fiber group G. We suppose that regions M are manifolds of dimension $n = \dim M \geq 2$, provided with a trivial principal bundle P with abelian structure group $G = U(1)$.

4.1 Classical abelian action

Along this subsection we assume the following two descriptions of a *face* Σ of hypersurface.

A. *Smooth case:* Σ is a smooth closed $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold, or

B. *Corners case:* Σ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional topological manifold with corners.

Since the bundle is trivial, the space of connections A_M has a linear structure and can be identified with L_M. We consider the action

$$S_M(\varphi) = \int_M d\varphi \wedge \star d\varphi,$$

where $\varphi \in A_M$ is a connection that is a solution of the Euler–Lagrange equations in the bulk, i.e., $d^\star d\varphi = 0$. The corresponding linear space is

$$L_M = \{\varphi \in \Omega^1(M) \,|\, d^\star d\varphi = 0\}.$$

Here $\Omega^1(M,\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \Omega^1(M)$ denotes $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-forms on M. These objects fulfill Axiom A1.

The identity component of gauge symmetries can be identified with certain $f \in \Omega^0(M)$ acting by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi + df$, thus $G_M^0 \cong \Omega^0(M)/\mathbb{R}^{b_0}$, where $\mathbb{R}^{b_0}$ denote the locally constant functions on M. We consider hypersurfaces as closed submanifolds $\Sigma \subset \partial M$. Since G_M^0 preserves the action on A_M the requirement mentioned in Axiom A8 is satisfied.

We will describe an embedding, that in the smooth case is

$$X\colon\ \Sigma \times [0,\varepsilon] \to \Sigma_\varepsilon.$$

We also consider a normal vector field ∂_τ on Σ_ε, whose flow lines are the trajectories $X(\cdot,\tau) \in \Sigma_\varepsilon$, $0 \le \tau \le \varepsilon$, that are normal to the boundary. This embedding arises from the solution of the volume preserving evolution problem on Σ, solved by Moser's trick, see [18].

Lemma 4.1. A. Smooth case. *Let Σ be a compact closed $(n-1)$-manifold that is a component of the boundary of a Riemannian manifold Σ_ε diffeomorphic to a cylinder $\Sigma \times [0,\varepsilon]$, provided with a Riemannian metric h. Then there exists an embedding $X\colon \Sigma \times [0,\varepsilon] \to \Sigma_\varepsilon$ such that:*

1. *The vector field ∂_τ is normal to Σ. The flow lines through $s \in \Sigma$ correspond to trajectories $X(s,\tau) \in \Sigma_\varepsilon$, $0 \le \tau \le \varepsilon$, transverse to Σ.*
2. *If $\star_\Sigma$ denotes the Hodge operator defined in Σ, and if $X_\Sigma\colon \Sigma \to \Sigma_\varepsilon$ stands for the inclusion $X_\Sigma(\cdot) := X(\cdot,0)$ then*
$$\star_\Sigma X_\Sigma^*(\varphi) = X_\Sigma^*(\star\varphi), \qquad \forall\, \varphi \in \Omega^k(\Sigma_\varepsilon).$$
3. *If $\mathcal{L}_\cdot$ denotes the Lie derivative, then*
$$X_\Sigma^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau} \star \cdot\right) = X_\Sigma^*\left(\star\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau} \cdot\right) = \star_\Sigma X_\Sigma^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau} \cdot\right).$$
4. *$X_\Sigma^*(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}(d^\star\varphi)) = X_\Sigma^*(d^\star(\mathcal{L}_{\partial\tau}\varphi))$, for any $\varphi \in \Omega^k(\Sigma_\varepsilon)$.*
5. *Suppose that $\overline{\varphi} \in \Omega^1(\Sigma_\varepsilon)$ satisfies $\iota_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\varphi} = 0$ then*
$$\star_\Sigma X_\Sigma^\star(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\varphi}) = X_\Sigma^\star(\star d\overline{\varphi}).$$
6. *If $X_\Sigma^*(d^\star\varphi) = 0$, then $X_\Sigma^*(d^\star\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\varphi) = 0$, for any $\varphi \in \Omega^k(\Sigma_\varepsilon)$.*

B. Corners case: *Let Σ be a compact $(n-1)$-manifold with corners that is a component of the boundary of a Riemannian manifold with corners Σ_ε diffeomorphic to a regular cylinder $\widehat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon$, (2.1), provided with a Riemannian metric h. Then there exists an embedding*

$$X\colon\ \widehat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon \to \Sigma_\varepsilon$$

such that all previous assertions hold.

Proof of case A. Consider the exponential map $Y\colon \Sigma \times [0,\varepsilon] \to \Sigma_\varepsilon$, $Y^t(\cdot) := Y(\cdot,t)$. on a tubular neighborhood Σ_ε of Σ (see for instance [17]). This means that for every initial condition $s \in \Sigma$ and $t \in [0,\varepsilon]$, $Y^t(s) \in \Sigma_\varepsilon$, is a geodesic passing trough $s = Y^0(s)$ whose arc-length is t. The initial velocity vector field $\frac{\partial Y^t(s)}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = \frac{\partial Y^0(s)}{\partial t}$, $s \in \Sigma$, is a vector field ∂_τ, normal to $\Sigma \subset \Sigma_\varepsilon$.

Let $\lambda \in \Omega^{n-1}(\Sigma_\varepsilon)$ be the $(n-1)$-volume form associated to the Riemannian metric in Σ_ε, recall that $\dim \Sigma_\varepsilon = n$. Define $\lambda^t := (Y^t)^*\lambda$ as the form induced by the restriction of the $(n-1)$-volume form on the embedded $(n-1)$-hypersurface $Y^t(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma_\varepsilon$. Now take the differentiable function $c(t) := \int_\Sigma \lambda^0 / \int_\Sigma \lambda^t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\forall\, t \in [0,\varepsilon]$. Notice that $c(0) = 1$. Then by the compactness of $\Sigma \subset \partial M$, $[c(\tau)\lambda^\tau] = [\lambda^0] \in H^{n-1}_{dR}(\Sigma)$, for every fixed $\tau \in [0,\varepsilon]$. Hence by Moser's trick, see [18], there exists an isotopy of the identity, $Z\colon \Sigma \times [0,\tau] \to \Sigma$ such that $(Z^\tau)^*(c(\tau)\cdot\lambda^\tau) = \lambda^0$, $Z^0(s) = s$, $\forall\, s \in \Sigma$, where $Z^t(s) := Z(s,t)$.

We define

$$X(s,\tau) := Z^\tau \circ Y^\tau(s), \qquad \forall\,(s,\tau) \in \Sigma \times [0,\varepsilon]$$

also $X^t(\cdot) := X(\cdot,t)$, $X_\Sigma(\cdot) := X(\cdot,0) = X^0(\cdot)$.

Consider the explicit form of the Hodge star operator, $\star$, for the Riemannian metric h on Σ_ε, and the star operator, $\star_\Sigma$, for the induced metric $\overline{h} := X_\Sigma^* h$ on Σ. Take a k-form $\varphi \in \Omega^k(\Sigma_\varepsilon)$. If we consider a coordinate chart $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})$ in Σ. Then locally $X_\Sigma^*(\star\varphi)$ equals the pullback of the k-form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\star\left(\sum_I a_I dx^{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{i_k} + \sum_{I'} b_{I'} dx^{i'_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{i'_{k-1}}\wedge d\tau\right)\\
&\quad= \sqrt{|\det(h_{ij})|}\left(\sum_J h^{i_1 j_1}\cdots h^{i_k j_k} a_I dx^{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{j_{n-k-1}}\wedge d\tau\right)\\
&\qquad+ \sqrt{|\det(h_{ij})|}\left(\sum_{J'} h^{i'_1 j'_1}\cdots h^{i'_k j'_{n-k}} b_{I'} dx^{j'_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{j'_{n-k}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the indexes denote ordered sets $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\}$, $J = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_{n-k-1}\}$ such that their union $I \cup J$, as an ordered set, corresponds to a basis $(dx_1,\dots,dx_{n-1})$ of 1-forms on Σ. The ordered sets $I' = \{i'_1 < \cdots < i'_{k-1}\}$, $J = \{j'_1 < \cdots < j'_{n-k}\}$ are constructed in a similar way. Thus

$$X_\Sigma^*(\star\varphi) = \sqrt{|\det(h_{ij})|}\left(\sum_{J'} h^{i'_1 j'_1}\cdots h^{i'_k j'_{n-k}} b_{I'} dx^{j'_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{j'_{n-k}}\right).$$

Meanwhile

$$\star_\Sigma X_\Sigma^*(\varphi) = \sqrt{\left|\det\left(\overline{h}_{ij}\right)\right|}\left(\sum_{\{j'_1<\cdots<j'_{n-k}\}} \overline{h}^{i'_1 j'_1}\cdots\overline{h}^{i'_k j'_k} b_{I'} dx^{j'_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{j'_k}\right).$$

But $\overline{h}^{ij} = h^{ij}$ for $i,j \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}$, and also $h^{in} = \delta_{i,n}$, the Kronecker delta, since ∂_τ is normal to Σ. Hence $\sqrt{|\det(\overline{h}_{ij})|} = \sqrt{|\det(h_{ij})|}$, and $\star_\Sigma X_\Sigma^*(\varphi) = X_\Sigma^*(\star\varphi)$. This proves assertion 2.

If the volume form on Σ in local coordinates can been described as $|\det(\overline{h}_{ij})|^{1/2} dx^1\wedge dx^2\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{n-1}$, then $(X^\tau)^*(c(\tau)\lambda^\tau) = \lambda^0$, implies

$$c(\tau)\sqrt{\left|\det\left(h\circ X^\tau\right)_{ij}\right|}dx^1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{n-1} = \left|\det\left(\overline{h}_{ij}\right)\right|^{1/2} dx^1\wedge dx^2\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{n-1}.$$

Furthermore $(X^\tau)^*[\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}(c(\tau)\lambda)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}(X^\tau)^*(c(\tau)\lambda)$, then

$$X_\Sigma^*\left[\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}(c(\tau)\lambda)\right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}(X^\tau)^*\left(c(\tau)\lambda\right)|_{\tau=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\left(\lambda^0\right)|_{\tau=0} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\left(c(\tau)\sqrt{|\det\left(h\circ X^\tau\right)_{ij}|}dx^1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{n-1}\right)\big|_{\tau=0}$$
$$=\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}|\det\left(h\circ X^\tau\right)_{ij}|^{1/2}\cdot c(t)+|\det\left(h\circ X^\tau\right)_{ij}|^{1/2}\frac{\partial c(\tau)}{\partial\tau}\right]\big|_{\tau=0}dx^1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{n-1}=0.$$

Recall that $c(\tau)=|\det(\overline{h}^{ij})|^{1/2}/|\det(h\circ X^\tau)|^{1/2}$; hence

$$\frac{\partial c(\tau)}{\partial\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0}=\frac{-3}{2}|\det\left(\overline{h}_{ij}\right)|^{1/2}|\det\left(h\circ X^\tau\right)_{ij}|^{-3/2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}|\det\left(h\circ X^\tau\right)_{ij}|\big|_{\tau=0}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}|\det\left(h\circ X^\tau\right)_{ij}|^{1/2}\big|_{\tau=0}=\frac{\partial c(\tau)}{\partial\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0}=0.$$

Hence the derivative of Z^0 at Σ equals $Z^0_\star=\mathrm{Id}$, since $\frac{\partial c(\tau)}{\partial\tau}|_{\tau=0}=0$. Therefore

$$\frac{\partial X^\tau}{\partial\tau}|_{\tau=0}=Z^0_*\left(\frac{\partial Y^0}{\partial\tau}\right)=\partial_\tau.$$

This proves assertion 1.

Now, since ∂_τ is normal to Σ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}|\det(h)_{ij}|^{1/2}\big|_{\tau=0}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}|\det\left(\overline{h}\right)_{ij}|^{1/2}\big|_{\tau=0}=0,$$

then $X^*_\Sigma(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}(\star\varphi))$ equals

$$\sqrt{|\det\left(\overline{h}_{ij}\right)|}\left(\sum_{\{j'_1<\cdots<j'_{n-k}\}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\big(h^{i'_1j'_1}\cdots h^{i'_{n-k}j'_{n-k}}b_{I'}\big)\big|_{\tau=0}\right)dx^{j'_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{j'_{n-k}}.$$

Recall that the derivative of the exponential map Y^t at $s\in\Sigma$, $Y^0_*\colon T_0(T_s\Sigma_\varepsilon)\simeq T_s\Sigma_\varepsilon\to T_s\Sigma_\varepsilon$, equals the identity, $Y^0_*=\mathrm{Id}$. This in turn implies that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\big(h^{i'_1j'_1}\cdots h^{i'_{n-k}j'_{n-k}}\big)\big|_{\tau=0}=0.$$

Therefore $X^*_\Sigma\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}(\star\varphi)$ equals

$$\sqrt{|\det\left(\overline{h}_{ij}\right)|}\left(\sum_{\{j'_1<\cdots<j'_{n-k}\}}h^{i'_1j'_1}\cdots h^{i'_{n-k}j'_{n-k}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}(b_{I'})|_{\tau=0}dx^{j'_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{j'_{n-k}}\right)=X^*_\Sigma(\star\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}(\varphi)).$$

This proves assertion 3. Assertion 4 is an immediate consequence of assertion 3, and assertion 6 is in turn a consequence of assertion 4.

Part 5 is a direct calculation for if $\iota_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\varphi}=0$, $\overline{\varphi}\in\Omega^1(\Sigma_\varepsilon)$, then locally $\overline{\varphi}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}f_i(x,\tau)dx^i$, thus $X^*_\Sigma(\star d\varphi)$ equals

$$X^*_\Sigma\star\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{j\neq i}\partial_jf_i(x,\tau)dx^j\wedge dx^i\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}f_i(x,\tau)d\tau\wedge dx^i\right)$$
$$=|\det h_{ij}|^{1/2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i+1}h^{1,i}\cdots h^{\hat{i},i}\cdots h^{n,i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}f_i(x,\tau)dx^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\hat{dx}^i\wedge\cdots\wedge dx^{n-1},$$

where $h^{\hat{i},i}$, $\hat{dx}^i$ denote missing terms. This last expression corresponds to $\star_\Sigma X^*_\Sigma(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\varphi})$, therefore assertion 5 holds. ■

Proof of case B. Now we consider Σ as a manifold with corners and $\partial\Sigma \neq \varnothing$. We consider the exponential map $Y^t(s)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq \epsilon(s)$, where $\epsilon^{-1}(0) = \Sigma$, recall the definition of a regular cylinder $\widehat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon$ in (2.1).

Then we use Dacorogna–Moser's argument for manifolds with boundary. This result is proved in [7, Theorem 7] for domains $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Sigma$, and for Lipschitz boundaries $\partial\Sigma$. In the case of general manifolds with corners Σ, the same results holds, see Remark 2.4 and Theorem 2.3 in [3]. This proves the case of regions with corners.

Since $\epsilon\colon \Sigma \to [0,1]$ in equation (2.1) is a smooth increasing function, then the $(n-1)$-volume form $c(\tau)\cdot\lambda^\tau$ coincides with the volume form λ^0 of Σ along $\partial\Sigma$. They define the same cohomology form $[\lambda^0] \in H^{n-1}_{dR}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$. Now by Dacorogna–Moser, there exists $Z\colon \Sigma \times [0,\tau] \to \Sigma$, such that $(Z^\tau)^*(\lambda^\tau) = \lambda^0$. Now we consider

$$X^\tau = Z^\tau \circ Y^\tau(s), \qquad \forall\, (s,\tau) \in \Sigma \times [0, \epsilon(s)\varepsilon]$$

Recall that $\Sigma^\epsilon := \epsilon^{-1}(1) \subset \Sigma$ is a smooth deformation retract $\Sigma^\epsilon \subset \Sigma$. All statements for the case A remain valid in Σ^ϵ, since they depend on local coordinate arguments.

Take a sequence $\varepsilon \to 0$ and the corresponding regular cylinders $\widehat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon$, (2.1). There are smooth increasing functions $\epsilon_\varepsilon\colon \Sigma \to [0,1]$, such that for every $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, the corresponding deformation retracts, $\Sigma^{\epsilon_{\varepsilon_i}} = \epsilon_{\varepsilon_i}^{-1}(1)$, $i = 1,2$, may be contained one in another $\Sigma^{\epsilon_{\varepsilon_2}} \subset \Sigma^{\epsilon_{\varepsilon_1}}$. Recall that $\epsilon_{\varepsilon_i}^{-1}(0) = \partial\Sigma$. Therefore, Σ can be obtained as the closure of $\bigcup_{\varepsilon>0} \Sigma^{\epsilon_\varepsilon}$. Hence the statements for case A remain valid for the whole domain Σ. ■

Definition 4.2. The following expression corresponds to the presymplectic structure in $\tilde{L}_\Sigma$, for the Yang–Mills action, see for instance [28],

$$\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma X^*_\Sigma\big(\eta \wedge d^\star\xi - \xi \wedge d^\star\eta\big), \tag{4.1}$$

for all $\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{L}_\Sigma$ with representatives $\xi, \eta \in L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$.

In addition, the degeneracy subspace of the presymplectic form is

$$K_{\omega_\Sigma} := \big\{\tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{L}_\Sigma \,|\, \eta = df, f(s,0) = 0, f \in \Omega^0(\Sigma_\varepsilon), \forall\, s \in \Sigma\big\}.$$

From this very definition we have that the degeneracy gauge symmetries group K_{ω_Σ} is a (normal) subgroup of the identity component group $\tilde{G}^0_\Sigma \leq \tilde{G}_\Sigma$ of the gauge symmetries,

$$K_{\omega_\Sigma} \trianglelefteq \tilde{G}^0_\Sigma \leq \tilde{G}_\Sigma.$$

Let

$$\Phi_{\tilde{A}_\Sigma} := \big\{\tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{L}_\Sigma \,|\, \iota_{\partial_\tau}\eta = 0, \eta \in L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} \text{ reprentative of } \tilde{\eta}\big\} \tag{4.2}$$

be the *axial gauge fixing subspace* of $\tilde{L}_\Sigma$. The following statement leads to a simpler expression for the presymplectic structure.

Lemma 4.3. *For every $\varphi \in L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$ corresponding to a solution, there is the gauge orbit representative*

$$\overline{\varphi} = \varphi + df, \tag{4.3}$$

such that $\iota_{\partial\tau}\overline{\varphi} = 0$, and $f|_\Sigma = 0$.

a) Every K_{ω_Σ}-orbit in $\tilde{L}_\Sigma$ intersects in just one point the subspace $\Phi_{\tilde{A}_\Sigma}$.

b) The presymplectic form $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$ restricted to the subspace $\Phi_{\tilde{A}_\Sigma}$ may be written as

$$\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma(\tilde{\eta},\tilde{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma X_\Sigma^*\big(\overline{\eta}\wedge\star\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\xi} - \overline{\xi}\wedge\star\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\eta}\big),$$

for every $\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\eta}\in\tilde{L}_\Sigma$ with representatives $\xi,\eta\in L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$. Hence $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$ is a non-degenerate 2-form when restricted to the gauge fixing subspace $\Phi_{\tilde{A}_\Sigma}\subset\tilde{L}_\Sigma$.

Proof of a). Let $\big(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\eta^i dx^i\big)+\eta^\tau d\tau$ be a local expression for a solution $\eta\in L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$. Let us apply a gauge symmetry

$$X_\Sigma^*(\eta+df) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\big(\eta^i+\partial_i f\big)dx^i + \big(\eta^\tau+\partial_\tau f\big)d\tau$$

in such a way that $\eta^\tau+\partial_\tau f=0$. We can solve the corresponding ODE for $f(s,\tau)$ once we fix an initial condition $f(s,0)=g(s)$. If we take this initial condition $g(s)$ as a constant, then we get a gauge symmetry in K_{ω_Σ}. The remaining part is a straightforward calculation. This proves a). The other assertion may be inferred from Lemma 4.3. ■

This result shows that Axioms A2 and A3 are satisfied. Let

$$A_\Sigma := \tilde{A}_\Sigma/K_{\omega_\Sigma}, L_\Sigma = \tilde{L}_\Sigma/K_{\omega_\Sigma}$$

be the quotients by the linear space K_{ω_Σ} corresponding to degenerate gauge symmetries. And also let $G_\Sigma^0 := \tilde{G}_\Sigma^0/K_{\omega_\Sigma}$ be the quotient by the normal subgroup. By Lemma 4.3, when we restrict the quotient class $\tilde{A}_\Sigma \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow A_\Sigma$ to $\Phi_{\tilde{A}_\Sigma}$, then we get an isomorphism of affine spaces. Let ω_Σ be the corresponding *symplectic structure* on A_Σ induced by the restriction of $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$ to the subspace $\Phi_{\tilde{A}_\Sigma}\subset\tilde{L}_\Sigma$.

We now proceed to give a precise description of the symplectic space L_Σ.

Lemma 4.1 implies that

$$X_\Sigma^*\big(\overline{\xi}\wedge\star\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\eta}\big) = X_\Sigma^*\big(\overline{\xi}\big)\wedge\star_\Sigma X_\Sigma^*\big(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\eta}\big), \tag{4.4}$$

where $\star_\Sigma$ stands for the Hodge star on Σ. Since $\iota_{\partial_\tau}(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\eta}) = \iota_{\partial_\tau}(\iota_{\partial_\tau}d\overline{\eta}) = 0$, then we have a linear map $L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}\to\Omega^1(\Sigma)\times\Omega^1(\Sigma)$, where

$$\eta\mapsto\big(\phi^\eta,\dot{\phi^\eta}\big) := \big(X_\Sigma^*(\overline{\eta}), X_\Sigma^*\big(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\eta}\big)\big), \tag{4.5}$$

for every $\tilde{\eta}\in\tilde{L}_\Sigma$ with representative $\xi,\eta\in L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$ and $\overline{\eta}$ defined in (4.3). that leads to a map

$$L_\Sigma\to\Omega^1(\Sigma)\times\Omega^1(\Sigma)\simeq T\big(\Omega^1(\Sigma)\big), \tag{4.6}$$

where we consider the identification with the tangent space $T(\Omega^1(\Sigma))$.

Notice that $\iota_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\eta}=0$ implies that $\eta\in L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$ corresponds to a 1-form ϕ^η on Σ. Notice also that $d^\star d\eta=0$ implies

$$d^\star(\iota_{\partial_\tau}d\overline{\eta}) = d^\star(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\eta}) = 0.$$

Hence $d^{\star_\Sigma}(X_\Sigma^*(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\eta}))=0$. Therefore $\dot{\phi}^\eta\in\ker d^{\star_\Sigma}$.

We have the following expression for the symplectic structure on L_Σ

$$\omega_\Sigma\big((\phi^\eta,\dot{\phi^\eta}),(\phi^\xi,\dot{\phi^\xi})\big) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma\big(\phi^\eta\wedge\star_\Sigma\dot{\phi^\xi} - \phi^\xi\wedge\star_\Sigma\dot{\phi^\eta}\big), \tag{4.7}$$

for every $(\phi^\xi, \dot{\phi}^\xi)$, $(\phi^\eta, \dot{\phi}^\eta) \in L_\Sigma$, with representatives $\overline{\xi}$, $\overline{\eta} \in L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$. From this very definition we can verify Axiom A4, i.e., translation invariance and also relation (3.1) where

$$\left[(\phi^\eta, \dot{\phi}^\eta), (\phi^\xi, \dot{\phi}^\xi)\right]_\Sigma := \int_\Sigma \phi^\eta \wedge \star_\Sigma \dot{\phi}^\xi.$$

Furthermore Axiom A6 is easily verified and the claims from Axiom A5 can be inferred from the relation $\star_{\overline{\Sigma}} = -\star_\Sigma$.

With this result we finish the kinematical part of the axiomatic description, i.e., Axioms A1–A6.

4.2 Symplectic reduction

In this subsection we assume also two cases as in the previous subsection, either:

A. Σ *is a smooth closed* $(n-1)$*-dimensional manifold*, or

B. Σ *is an* $(n-1)$*-dimensional manifold with corners.*

We still need to describe the quotient for the symplectic action of the gauge group G^0_Σ on L_Σ. The suitable gauge fixing space Φ_Σ in L_Σ for this action will be the space of divergence free 1-forms, i.e., we define

$$\Phi_{A_\Sigma} := \left\{ (\phi, \dot{\phi}) \in L_\Sigma \,|\, d^{\star_\Sigma}\phi = 0 = d^{\star_\Sigma}\dot{\phi} \right\}.$$

The following task is the detailed description of the symplectic quotient space

$$L_\Sigma / G^0_\Sigma \simeq \Phi_{A_\Sigma}.$$

A. Smooth case. We recall some useful facts of Hodge–Morrey–Friedrich theory for manifolds with boundary, see for instance [1, 9, 10, 24]. We can consider both Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions in order to define k-forms on a manifold V, i.e.,

$$\Omega^k_N(V) := \left\{ \varphi \in \Omega^k(V) \,|\, X^*_{\partial V}(\star\varphi) = 0 \right\}, \qquad \Omega^k_D(V) := \left\{ \varphi \in \Omega^k(V) \,|\, X^*_{\partial V}(\varphi) = 0 \right\}.$$

The differential d preserves the Dirichlet complex $\Omega^k_D(V)$ and on the other hand, the codifferential $d^\star$ preserves the Neumann complex $\Omega^k_N(V)$. In addition, the space $\mathfrak{H}^k(V)$ of harmonic fields $d\varphi = 0 = d^\star\varphi$, turns out to be infinite-dimensional. Nevertheless finite-dimensional spaces arise when we restrict to Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions $\mathfrak{H}^k_N(V)$, $\mathfrak{H}^k_D(V)$.

According to Hodge theory [24], associated with the inner product

$$\int_\Sigma \phi \wedge \star_\Sigma \phi', \qquad \phi, \phi' \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$$

we have an orthogonal decomposition $\phi = \phi_{\mathfrak{h}} + d^{\star_\Sigma}\alpha_\phi$,

$$\left\{ \phi \in \Omega^1(\Sigma) \,|\, d^\star\phi = 0 \right\} = \mathfrak{H}^1(\Sigma) \oplus d^{\star_\Sigma}\Omega^2(\Sigma),$$

where the space $\mathfrak{H}^1(\Sigma)$ of harmonic 1-forms has rank $b = \dim \mathfrak{H}^1(\Sigma)$.

According to [9, 10], the space of harmonic forms on a smooth manifold Σ with *smooth* boundary $\partial\Sigma$, has rank

$$b = \dim \mathfrak{H}^1_N(\Sigma) = \dim H_1(\Sigma) = \dim H_{n-2}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma).$$

B. Corners case. For manifolds with corners Σ, the space of harmonic forms has the same description. Take a homeomorphism $F\colon \Sigma' \to \Sigma$, that defines a diffeomorphism, with lack of

differentiability on $\partial\Sigma'$. Here Σ' is a smooth manifold with smooth boundary homeomorphic to Σ. If $\phi \in \mathfrak{H}^1_N(\Sigma)$ is a harmonic form with null normal component, then $F^*(\phi)|_{\partial\Sigma'} \in \mathfrak{H}^1_N(\Sigma')$ is also a well defined harmonic form on $\partial\Sigma'$. Hence for manifolds with corners Σ, harmonic forms have also rank given by the Betti number.

The following lemma fulfills Axiom A8 and provides the gauge-fixing space definition required in Axiom A10.

Lemma 4.4. *Let Σ be a closed smooth manifold or a manifold with corners of dimension $n-1$. For $\eta \in L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$, take $(\phi^\eta, \dot\phi^\eta) \in T\Omega^1(\Sigma)$ as defined in (4.5), with gauge transformation group G^0_Σ.*

a) The gauge group action of G^0_Σ on L_Σ is induced in the tangent space $T\Omega^1(\Sigma)$ by the translation action $\phi \mapsto \phi + df, f \in \Omega^0(\Sigma)$ on $\Omega^1(\Sigma)$.

b) Every G^0_Σ-orbit in L_Σ intersects the subspace Φ_{A_Σ} in just one point .

c) The symplectic form ω_Σ is preserved under the G^0_Σ-action.

Proof of b). Consider $X^*_\Sigma\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \eta^i dx^i$, a local expression for a solution $\eta \in L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} \cap \Phi_{\tilde A_\Sigma} \subset L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$. Consider $\overline{f}\colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$, then $d^{\star_\Sigma}(X^*_\Sigma(\eta) + d\overline{f}) = 0$ implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_i \left[\left|\det\left(\overline{h}\right)\right|^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\eta^i + \partial_i \overline{f}\right)(-1)^i h^{1,i} \cdots \hat{h}^{i,i} \cdots h^{i,n-1} \right] = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

The existence and regularity of a solution, $\overline{f}(s)$, for this PDE on Σ is warranted precisely by Hodge theory. Since

$$X^*_\Sigma(\eta) \in \Omega^1(\Sigma) \simeq d\Omega^0(\Sigma) \oplus \mathfrak{H}^1(\Sigma) \oplus d^{\star_\Sigma}\Omega^2(\Sigma),$$

there exists $\overline{f} \in \Omega^0(\Sigma)$, such that $X^*_\Sigma(\eta) + d\overline{f}$ is the orthogonal projection of $X^*_\Sigma(\eta)$ onto $\ker d^{\star_\Sigma} \simeq \mathfrak{H}^1(\Sigma) \oplus d^{\star_\Sigma}\Omega^2(\Sigma)$. Define

$$\phi^\eta := X^*_\Sigma(\eta) + d\overline{f} \in \ker d^{\star_\Sigma}.$$

On the other hand

$$d^\star \mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}(\eta + df) = 0 \tag{4.9}$$

implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_i \left[|\det(h)|^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\partial_\tau \eta^i + \partial_\tau \partial_i f\right)(-1)^i h^{1,i} \cdots \hat{h}^{i,i} \cdots h^{i,n-1} \right] = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

When we substitute $\partial_\tau \eta^i + \partial_\tau \partial_i f$ by the coefficients ϕ^i_τ, of a time dependent 1-form in Σ, $\phi_\tau \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$, equation (4.10) has a solution ϕ_τ. This leads to an ODE for $g_i(s,\tau) := \partial_i f$,

$$\partial_\tau \eta^i + \partial_\tau \partial_i f = \phi^i_\tau. \tag{4.11}$$

Equation (4.11) can be solved once we fix the boundary condition $\partial_i f(x^i, 0) = \partial_i \overline{f}(x^i)$. This boundary condition, in turn, has been obtained by solving (4.8) in Σ.

We conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_i(s,\tau) dx^i$ is an exact form on Σ, so that there exists $f(s,\tau) \in \Omega^0(\Sigma_\varepsilon)$ such that (4.9) holds.

To conclude define $\dot\phi^\eta := X^*_\Sigma(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}(\eta + df))$, notice that $(\phi^\eta, \dot\phi^\eta) \in \Phi_{A_\Sigma}$.

Remark that from the very form of the solution $\phi_\tau = X^*_\Sigma(\eta_\tau) + d\overline{f}_\tau$, ϕ_τ and $\partial_\tau \eta$ have the same integrals along closed cycles, hence they have the same cohomology class in $\mathfrak{H}^1(\Sigma)$. ■

The axial Gauge fixing space Φ_Σ can be described with

$$T\big[\mathfrak{H}^1(\Sigma)\oplus d^{\star_\Sigma}\Omega^2(\Sigma)\big]\simeq\big[T\mathfrak{H}^1(\Sigma)\big]\times\big[T(d^{\star_\Sigma}\Omega^2(\Sigma))\big],$$

where we take tangent spaces. Recall that according to Hodge theory the space of coclosed 1-forms can be described as $\mathfrak{H}^1(\Sigma)\oplus d^{\star_\Sigma}\Omega^2(\Sigma)$. In the abelian case the holonomy $\mathrm{hol}_\gamma(\phi)=\exp\oint_\gamma\phi\in G$ of a connection ϕ along a closed trajectory γ can be defined up to cohomology class of γ. Recall that for $G=U(1)$, $\int_\gamma\phi\in\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$. Thus by considering independent generators $\{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_b\}$ of the homology $H_1(\Sigma)$, and a dual harmonic basis $\phi^1_{\mathfrak{h}},\dots,\phi^b_{\mathfrak{h}}$ we have the exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\oplus_{i=1}^b\mathbb{Z}\cdot\big[\phi^i_{\mathfrak{h}}\big]\longrightarrow\mathfrak{H}^1(\Sigma)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{hol}_{\gamma_i}}G^b\longrightarrow 1.$$

Hence there is a surjective map by the differential $\mathrm{Dhol}_\Gamma\colon T\mathfrak{H}^1(\Sigma)\to TG^b$.

Now we consider the reduction of Φ_{A_Σ} under the action of the discrete group G_Σ/G^0_Σ.

Lemma 4.5. *Let Σ be a $(n-1)$-dimensional smooth manifold or a manifold with corners. We have the quotient space*

$$A_\Sigma/G_\Sigma=\Phi_{A_\Sigma}/\big(G_\Sigma/G^0_\Sigma\big)\simeq T\big(G^b\big)\times T\big(d^{\star_\Sigma}\Omega^2(\Sigma)\big)$$

with reduced symplectic structure $\overline{\omega_\Sigma}$ given in (4.7).

4.3 Factorization on hypersurfaces

Now we complete the symplectic reduction picture for hypersurfaces described in Axioms A7–A9. First we consider the factorization given in Axiom A7. In this section we have denoted alternatively smooth closed manifolds or manifolds with corners as Σ. For the results stated in this particular subsection, the convention will be different:

In this subsection Σ will denote a *hypersurface*, i.e., a topological manifold with a stratified space structure $|\Sigma|$.

The r-forms in the k-skeleton, $r\leq k$, $k=0,1,2,\dots,n-1$ is the set of restrictions of these r-forms to its faces:

$$\Omega^r\big(|\Sigma|^{(n-1)}\big)=\cup_{i=1}^m\big\{\varphi^i\in\Omega^k\big(\Sigma^i\big)\,|\,\varphi^i|_{\Sigma^j}=\varphi^j\ \forall\,(i,j)\in\mathcal{P}\big\},$$
$$\Omega^r\big(|\Sigma|^{(n-2)}\big)=\cup\big\{\varphi^I\Omega^k\Sigma^I\,|\,\varphi^I|_{\Sigma^J}=\varphi^J,\ I,J\in\mathcal{P}\big\}.$$

Notice that we have the inclusion of k-forms on stratified spaces, for $r=0,1$, given by the pullbacks

$$\Omega^r(\Sigma)=\Omega^r\big(|\Sigma|^{(n-1)}\big)\subset\Omega^r\big(|\Sigma|^{(n-2)}\big).$$

To give a detailed description of the space of divergence-free fields on Σ, let us first consider harmonic fields.

If $\phi_{\mathfrak{h}}\in\mathfrak{H}^1(\Sigma^i)$, then the restriction, $\phi^I_{\mathfrak{h}}$, over every face closure $\Sigma^I\subset\Sigma^i$, contained in Σ^i, is harmonic as stated in the following lemma, which consists of two parts one for regular cylinders and another one for $(n-1)$-dimensional stratified spaces.

Lemma 4.6 (Theorems 7 and 8 in [2])**.** *Let Σ_ε be a Riemannian manifold with corners homeomorphic to the regular cylinder $\widehat{\Sigma}_\varepsilon$, (2.1). For every harmonic form $\varphi\in\mathfrak{H}^r(\Sigma_\varepsilon)$, the following are true:*

1. *φ is closed and coclosed, that is $d\varphi=0=d^\star\varphi$, i.e., $\varphi\in\mathfrak{H}^r(\Sigma_\varepsilon)$.*

2. $\varphi^i := \varphi|_{\Sigma^i} \in \mathfrak{H}^r(\Sigma^i)$, *where* $\Sigma^i \subset |\Sigma|^{(n-1)}$ *are the* $(n-1)$*-dimensional faces.*
3. *The boundary and coboundary operators satisfy,*

$$\big((d\varphi)^i\big) = \big(d(\varphi^i)\big), \qquad \big((d^\star\varphi)^i\big) = \big(d^{\star_{\Sigma^i}}(\varphi^i)\big),$$

so that they define complexes $(\Omega(|\Sigma|^{(n-2)}), d)$, $(\Omega(|\Sigma|^{(n-2)})), d^\star)$.

4. $\varphi|_{\Sigma^i} \in \mathfrak{H}^r_N(\Sigma^i)$, *if and only if* $\iota_{\partial_\tau}\varphi = 0$, *where* ∂_τ *is a vector field normal to* Σ^i.

Let $|\Sigma|^{(n-1)} = |\Sigma|$ *be an* $(n-1)$*-dimensional stratified space homeomorphic to an* $(n-1)$*-dimensional manifold. For every harmonic* r*-form* $\phi \in \mathfrak{H}^r(|\Sigma|)$

1. φ *is closed and coclosed, that is* $d\phi = 0 = d^{\star_{\Sigma^i}}\phi$, *i.e.,* $\phi^i := \phi|_{\Sigma^i} \in \mathfrak{H}^r(\Sigma^i)$, *for each* $(n-1)$*-dimensional closed stratum* Σ^i.
2. $\phi^I := \phi|_{\Sigma^I} \in \mathfrak{H}^r(\Sigma^I)$, *where* $\Sigma^I \subset |\Sigma|^{(n-2)}$ *are the* $(n-2)$*-dimensional faces.*
3. *The boundary and coboundary operators satisfy,*

$$\big((d\phi)^I\big) = \big(d(\phi^I)\big), \qquad \big((d^\star\phi)^I\big) = \big(d^{\star_{\Sigma^I}}(\phi^I)\big),$$

so that they define complexes $(\Omega(|\Sigma|^{(n-2)}), d)$, $(\Omega(|\Sigma|^{(n-2)})), d^\star)$.

This finishes the description of harmonic forms on the stratified space $|\Sigma|$. Notice that when Σ^i are balls then the harmonic forms $\phi \in \Omega^r(\Sigma^i)$ are completely defined by their Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\partial\Sigma^i$.

We also have an analogous of Hodge–Morrey–Friedrichs decomposition for stratified spaces, that follows also from Theorems 7 and 8 in [2]:

Corollary 4.7.

1. *There is an orthogonal decomposition*

$$\Omega^r(|\Sigma|) = \mathfrak{H}^r_N(|\Sigma|) \oplus \big(\mathfrak{H}^r(|\Sigma|) \cap d\Omega^{r-1}(|\Sigma|)\big) \oplus d\Omega^{r-1}_D(|\Sigma|) \oplus d^\star\Omega^{r+1}_N(|\Sigma|).$$

2. *In particular there is an orthogonal decomposition for divergence-free fields*

$$\ker\big[d^\star\colon \Omega^r(|\Sigma|) \to \Omega^{r-1}(|\Sigma|)\big] = \mathfrak{H}^r_N(|\Sigma|) \oplus d^\star\Omega^{r+1}_N(|\Sigma|).$$

Therefore the divergence free 1-forms on $|\Sigma|$ are described as

$$\mathfrak{H}^1(|\Sigma|) \oplus d^{\star_\Sigma}\Omega^2(|\Sigma|),$$

thus we could define the gauge-fixing space as

$$\Phi_{A_\Sigma} := T\big(\mathfrak{H}^1(|\Sigma|)\big) \times T\big(\star_\Sigma\, d\Omega^0(|\Sigma|)\big).$$

From the projections $\check{\Sigma}^i \to \Sigma^i \subset \Sigma$ we obtain the linear maps

$$\mathfrak{H}^1(|\Sigma|) \subset \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{H}^1\big(\check{\Sigma}^i\big)$$

and

$$\Omega^0(|\Sigma|) \subset \oplus_{i=1}^m \Omega^0\big(\check{\Sigma}^i\big).$$

If $\Phi_{A_{\check{\Sigma}^i}} := T(\mathfrak{H}^1(\check{\Sigma}^i)) \oplus T(\star_{\Sigma^i} d\Omega^0(\check{\Sigma}^i))$, then we get the injective maps

$$\Phi_{A_\Sigma} \to \prod_{i=1}^m \Phi_{A_{\check{\Sigma}^i}} =: \Phi_{A_{|\Sigma|^2}}.$$

This inclusion is the restriction of an inclusion referred to in Axiom A7, as is described in the following commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T\big(\Omega^1(|\Sigma|)\big) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^m T\big(\Omega^1\big(\check{\Sigma}^i\big)\big) = T\big(\oplus_{i=1}^m \Omega^1\big(\check{\Sigma}^i\big)\big) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
L_\Sigma & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{i=1}^m L_{\check{\Sigma}^i} = L_{|\Sigma|^2} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\Phi_{A_\Sigma} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^m \Phi_{A_{\check{\Sigma}^i}} =: \Phi_{A_{|\Sigma|^2}}
\end{array}$$

Similarly, the inclusions in the affine spaces $A_\Sigma \to \prod_{i=1}^m A_{\check{\Sigma}^i}$ can be described. Also for the gauge symmetries we have exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^0_{dR}(|\Sigma|) & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^m \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Omega^0(|\Sigma|) & \dashrightarrow & \oplus_{i=1}^m \Omega^0\big(\check{\Sigma}^i\big) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G^0_\Sigma & \dashrightarrow & \oplus_{i=1}^m G_{\check{\Sigma}^i} =: G_{|\Sigma|^2}
\end{array}$$

where $G_{\check{\Sigma}^i} \simeq \Omega^0(\check{\Sigma}^i)/\mathbb{R}$ (since $\check{\Sigma}^i$ is simply connected), and G^0_Σ stands for the identity component of the gauge symmetries group G_Σ.

We use Lemma 4.5 to establish the following claim.

Theorem 4.8. *Let Σ be a hypersurface with a stratified space structure $|\Sigma|$. We have the gauge fixing space*

$$\Phi_{A_\Sigma} = \Phi_{A_\Sigma}/G^0_\Sigma \simeq T\big(\mathfrak{H}^1(|\Sigma|)\big) \times T\big(\star_\Sigma\, d\Omega^0(|\Sigma|)\big) \subset \prod_{i=1}^m \Phi_{A_{\check{\Sigma}^i}}$$

with symplectic structure $\overline{\omega_\Sigma}$ induced by the pullback of $\omega_{\check{\Sigma}^1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_{\check{\Sigma}^m}$. We also have the quotient space

$$A_\Sigma/G_\Sigma = T\big(G^b\big) \times T\big(d^{\star_\Sigma}\Omega^2(|\Sigma|)\big).$$

Where $b = \dim \mathfrak{H}^1(|\Sigma|)$ is the Betti number of Σ,

$$b = \dim H_{n-2}(\Sigma; \partial\Sigma) = \dim H_1(\Sigma), \qquad n-1 = \dim \Sigma.$$

This proves the validity of the factorization Axioms A7, A9.

For the smooth case let us consider a hypersurface Σ as a disjoint union of oriented hypersurfaces $\Sigma = \Sigma^1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Sigma^m$. Then there is a linear map

$$\Omega^1(\Sigma) \to \Omega^1(\Sigma^1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega^1(\Sigma^m)$$

given by $\eta \mapsto X^*_{\Sigma^1}(\eta) \oplus \cdots \oplus X^*_{\Sigma^m}(\eta)$. This map induces the isomorphism $r_{\Sigma;|\Sigma|^{n-1}} \colon L_\Sigma \to L_{|\Sigma|^{n-1}} = L_{\Sigma^1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{\Sigma^m}$. Furthermore, the chain decomposition

$$\int_\Sigma \cdot = \int_{\Sigma^1} \cdot + \cdots + \int_{\Sigma^m} \cdot$$

verifies Axiom A7′. The proof of Axiom A9′ is similar.

Let us now look at the content of Axiom A8. Let $G^0_M = \{df \mid f \in \Omega^0(M)\}$ be the identity component of the bulk gauge symmetry group G_M. Each bulk symmetry $df \in G^0_M$ induces a symmetry $X^*_\Sigma(df) \in G^0_{\partial M_\varepsilon}$ in the boundary cylinder $\partial M_\varepsilon := \cup_i \Sigma^i_\varepsilon$, and also a symmetry $h_M(df) \in G^0_{\partial M}$ in the boundary conditions. This was mentioned in the locality arguments in Subsection 3.2.

There is an extension of local gauge actions: In the particular case of trivial principal bundle local gauge symmetries in $G_{\partial M}$ extend via partitions of unity to symmetries in the bulk G_M. This means that we can define sections $\sigma : G^0_{\partial M} \to G_M$ of the homomorphism $G_M \to G_{\partial M}$. Hence there is a well defined (set-theoretic) orbit map

$$\overline{r}_M \colon \; L_M/G^0_M \to L_{\partial M}/G^0_{\partial M}.$$

Furthermore, by linearity of the actions L_M/G^0_M, A_M/G^0_M have linear and affine structures respectively. This proves Axiom A8.

The kinematic description of gauge fields is now completed from Axioms A1–A9.

5 Dynamics modulo gauge

These paragraphs are aimed to verify Axioms A10–A12 where dynamics of gauge fields is constructed. We discuss the behavior of the solutions near the boundary in more detail. Recall that here is a map $\tilde{r}_M \colon L_M \to \tilde{L}_{\partial M}$ coming from the restriction of the solutions to germs on the boundary. Composing with the quotient class map by the space $K_{\omega_{\partial M}}$, we have a map $r_M \colon L_M \to L_{\partial M}$. Let $L_{\tilde{M}} \subset L_\Sigma$ be the image under this map. The aim is to describe the image $L_{\tilde{M}} \subset L_{\partial M}$ of the space of solutions as a Lagrangian subspace once we take the gauge quotient.

We recall some useful facts of Hodge–Morrey–Friedrich theory for manifolds with boundary for k-forms on M.

Lemma 5.1 (A. Smooth case [24]). *Suppose that M is a smooth Riemannian manifold with boundary*

1. *There is an orthogonal decomposition*

$$\Omega^k(M) = \mathfrak{H}^k_N(M) \oplus \big(\mathfrak{H}^k(M) \cap d\Omega^{k-1}(M)\big) \oplus d\Omega^{k-1}_D(M) \oplus d^\star_N \Omega^{k+1}_N(M).$$

2. *In particular there is an orthogonal decomposition for divergence-free fields*

$$\ker \left[d^\star \colon \Omega^k_N(M) \to \Omega^{k-1}_N(M)\right] = \mathfrak{H}^k_N(M) \oplus d^\star \Omega^{k+1}_N(M).$$

3. *Each de Rham cohomology class can be represented by a unique harmonic field without normal component, i.e., there is an isomorphism*

$$H^k_{dR}(M) \simeq \mathfrak{H}^k_N(M).$$

4. *Each de Rham relative cohomology class can be represented by a harmonic field null at the boundary, i.e., there is an isomorphism*

$$H^k_{dR}(M,\partial M)\simeq \mathfrak{H}^k_D(M).$$

For the corners case we consider the stratified space structure $|M|$ of the manifold with corners M in order to give a precise definition of the spaces of Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions on k-forms

$$\Omega^k_D(|M|):=\big\{\varphi\in\Omega^k(|M|)\,|\,\varphi|_{|\partial M|}=0\big\},\qquad \Omega^k_N(|M|):=\big\{\varphi\in\Omega^k(|M|)\,|\,\star\varphi|_{|\partial M|}=0\big\}.$$

The decomposition given in Corollary 4.7, has a corners counterpart given by the following result.

Lemma 5.2 (B. Corners case)**.** *Suppose that M is a Riemannian manifold with corners with stratified space structure $|M|$.*

1. *There is an orthogonal decomposition*

$$\Omega^k(|M|)=d\Omega^{k-1}_D(|M|)\oplus\mathfrak{H}^k_N(|M|)\oplus\big(\mathfrak{H}^k(|M|)\cap d\Omega^{k-1}(|M|)\big)\oplus d^\star\Omega^{k+1}_N(|M|).$$

2. *There is an isomorphism*

$$H^1(|M|)\simeq\mathfrak{H}^1_N(|M|).$$

3. *And also an orthogonal decomposition*

$$\Omega^2(|\partial M|)=\mathfrak{H}^2(|\partial M|)\oplus d\Omega^1(|\partial M|)\oplus d^\star\Omega^3(|\partial M|).$$

The proof follows from restating arguments used in [2, Theorems 7 and 8].
Define

$$\Phi_{A_M}:=L_M\cap\Omega^1_N(|M|)\subset L_M.$$

Notice that $r_M(\Phi_{A_M})\subset L_{\partial M}$. If $\varphi\in\Omega^k(|\partial M_\varepsilon|)$ satisfies the Neumann condition $X^*_{\partial M}(\star\varphi)=0$, then it also satisfies $X^*_{\partial M}(\iota_{\partial_\tau}\varphi)=0$ with $\iota_{\partial_\tau}\varphi\in\Omega^{k-1}(|\partial M_\varepsilon|)$.

Let us consider coclosed fields which, according to Lemma 5.1, have an orthogonal decomposition of the axial gauge fixing space of solutions

$$\Phi_{A_M}=\big\{\overline{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{h}}+d^\star\alpha\in L_M\,|\,\overline{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{h}}\in\mathfrak{H}^1_N(|M|),\,\alpha\in\Omega^2_N(|M|),\,d^\star dd^\star\alpha=0=dd^\star d\alpha\big\}.$$

If $\overline{\varphi}\in\Phi_{A_M}$, then $\overline{\varphi}$ satisfies the equation $d^\star\overline{\varphi}=0$, it also satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation $d^\star d\overline{\varphi}=0$, since $d^\star dd^\star\alpha=0=dd^\star d\alpha$. This space

$$\Phi_{A_M}\subset\mathfrak{H}^1_N(|M|)\oplus d^\star\Omega^2_N(|M|),$$

constitutes the orthogonal projection of the space of solutions L_M, according to the decomposition

$$\Omega^1(|M|)=\mathfrak{H}^1_N(|M|)\oplus d^\star\Omega^2_N(|M|)\oplus d\Omega^0_D(|M|)\oplus\big(\mathfrak{H}^1(|M|)\cap d\Omega^0(|M|)\big).$$

From this orthogonal decomposition it can be shown that every solution $\varphi\in L_M$ can be transformed, modulo the bulk gauge transformation,

$$\varphi\mapsto\overline{\varphi}=\varphi+df$$

onto a field belonging to the space Φ_{A_M}. Thus the following statement can be proven.

Lemma 5.3.

1. *Every G^0_M-orbit intersects $\Phi_{A_M} \subset L_M$ in exactly one point, i.e., for every $\varphi \in L_M$ there exists $f \in \Omega^0(|M|)$, such that $\overline{\varphi} = \varphi + df \in \Phi_{A_M}$.*
2. *$r_M \colon \Phi_{A_M} \to L_{\tilde{M}} \cap \Phi_{A_{\partial M}}$ is a linear surjection.*

Corollary 5.4. $L_{\tilde{M}}/G^0_{\partial M} = r_M(\Phi_{A_M})/G^0_{\partial M} \subset L_{\partial M}/G^0_{\partial M}$.

Consider the identification $\mathfrak{H}^1_N(|M|) \simeq \mathfrak{H}^1(|\partial M|)$, and $d^\star_N \Omega^2(|M|) \simeq d^{\star_{\partial M}}\Omega^2(|\partial M|)$. We have the following statement.

Lemma 5.5.

1. *There is a well defined restriction map*

$$X^*_{\partial M} \colon \ \Phi_{A_M} \to \mathfrak{H}^1(|\partial M|) \oplus d^{\star_{\partial M}}\Omega^2(|\partial M|)$$

2. *If we adopt the identification given in Lemma 4.5,*

$$L_{\partial M}/G^0_{\partial M} \simeq T\big(\mathfrak{H}^1(|\partial M|)\big) \times T\big(d^{\star_{\partial M}}\Omega^2(|\partial M|)\big),$$

then the map r_M coincides with the first jet of the pullback, i.e., we have a commutative diagram of linear mappings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_{A_M} & \xrightarrow{\quad r_M \quad} & L_{\partial M}/G^0_{\partial M} \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\updownarrow \\ \mathfrak{H}^1_N(|M|) \oplus d^\star\Omega^2_N(|M|) & \xrightarrow[j^1 X^*_{\partial M}]{} & T\big(\mathfrak{H}^1(|\partial M|)\big) \times T\big(d^{\star_{\partial M}}\Omega^2(|\partial M|)\big) \end{array}$$

As a matter of fact de Rham theorems also apply in stratified spaces. There is an isomorphism for the singular cohomology

$$H^1(|\partial M|, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathfrak{H}^1_{dR}(|\partial M|)$$

only in the case when the stratification is complete, see definition in [2, Theorem 18]. This occurs for instance in the case where the faces $\Sigma^i \subset \partial M$ are homeomorphic to balls. In general there is just a morphism $H^1_{dR}(|\partial M|) \to \mathfrak{H}^1(|\partial M|)$.

Now we are in a position to prove the result that encodes dynamics in a Lagrangian context. We prove that the image of solutions modulo gauge onto the space of boundary conditions modulo gauge, stated in Proposition 5.4, is in fact a Lagrangian space. The following statement completes the dynamical picture described in Axiom A10.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $L_{\tilde{M}} = r_M(L_M)$ be the boundary conditions that can be extended to solutions in the interior L_M. Then for the symplectic vector space $L_{\partial M}/G^0_{\partial M}$*

1. *$L_{\tilde{M}}/G^0_{\partial M}$ is an isotropic subspace.*
2. *$L_{\tilde{M}}/G^0_{\partial M}$ is a coisotropic subspace.*

In other words $L_{\tilde{M}} \cap \Phi_{A_{\partial M}}$ is a Lagrangian subspace of the symplectic space $\Phi_{A_{\partial M}}$.

As we mentioned in the introduction for $L_{\tilde{M}}/G^0_{\partial M}$ isotropy is always true, see [14]. For the sake of completeness we give a proof that is a straightforward calculation. Take $\varphi, \varphi' \in L_M$ and consider its image

$$\big(j^1 X^*_{\partial M}\big)(\varphi) = \big(X^*_{\partial M}\overline{\varphi}, X^*_{\partial M}(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\varphi})\big) = \big(\phi^\varphi, \dot{\phi}^\varphi\big) \in L_{\partial M} \cap \Phi_{A_{\partial M}}$$

where $\overline{\varphi}$ was defined in Lemma 4.3, and where $d^{\star_{\partial M}}\phi^\varphi = d^{\star_{\partial M}}\dot{\phi}^\varphi = 0$. We also consider $\overline{\varphi} \in \Phi_{A_M}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{\partial M}\big(\overline{\varphi}, \overline{\varphi'}\big) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial M} \phi^\varphi \wedge \star_{\partial M}\dot{\phi}^{\varphi'} - \phi^{\varphi'} \wedge \star_{\partial M}\dot{\phi}^\varphi \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}(\overline{\varphi}) \wedge \star_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}\big(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\varphi'}\big) - X^*_{\partial M}\big(\overline{\varphi'}\big) \wedge \star_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\varphi}).\end{aligned}$$

From a property shown in Lemma 4.1 we have that the last expression equals

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}\big(\overline{\varphi} \wedge \star d\overline{\varphi'} - \overline{\varphi'} \wedge \star d\overline{\varphi}\big).$$

Recall hat φ, φ' are global solutions in the interior $d^\star d\varphi = 0 = d^\star d\varphi'$, hence by applying Stokes' theorem we have

$$\omega_{\partial M}\big(\overline{\varphi}, \overline{\varphi'}\big) = \int_M d\overline{\varphi} \wedge \star d\overline{\varphi'} - d\overline{\varphi'} \wedge \star d\overline{\varphi} = 0.$$

Proof for coisotropic embedding. Take $\overline{\varphi} \in \Phi_{A_M}$, as indicated in Lemma 5.3, take $(\phi^\varphi, \dot{\phi}^\varphi) := r_M(\overline{\varphi})$ and suppose that $\omega_{\partial M}(\overline{\varphi}, \overline{\varphi^\varepsilon}) = 0$ for every $\overline{\varphi^\varepsilon} \in L_{\partial M_\varepsilon}$ with $(\phi', \dot{\phi}') \in L_{\partial M}$ corresponding to $\overline{\varphi^\varepsilon}$ with $\iota_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\varphi^\varepsilon}$. Recall that $d^{\star_{\partial M}}\phi' = 0 = d^{\star_{\partial M}}\dot{\phi}'$. Then thanks to the representative (4.4) we have

$$\int_{\partial M} \phi^\varphi \wedge \star_{\partial M}\dot{\phi}' = \int_{\partial M} \phi' \wedge \star_{\partial M}\dot{\phi}^\varphi, \qquad \forall\,(\phi', \dot{\phi}') \in L_{\partial M}. \tag{5.1}$$

According to the orthogonal decomposition described in Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\phi^\varphi = \phi_{\mathfrak{h}} + d^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\alpha), \qquad \dot{\phi}^\varphi = \dot{\phi}_{\mathfrak{h}} + d^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\dot{\alpha}),$$

where

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{h}}, \dot{\phi}_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{H}^1(|\partial M|), \qquad \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \in \Omega^2(|\partial M_\varepsilon|), \qquad \dot{\alpha} = \mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\alpha, \qquad d^* d d^* \alpha = 0$$

Hence equation (5.1) implies $\forall\,(\phi', \dot{\phi}') \in L_{\partial M}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\partial M} \phi_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \star_{\partial M}\dot{\phi}' + \int_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\alpha) \wedge \star_{\partial M}\dot{\phi}' \\ &\qquad = \int_{\partial M} \phi' \wedge \star_{\partial M}\dot{\phi}_{\mathfrak{h}} + \int_{\partial M} \phi' \wedge \star_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\dot{\alpha}).\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

We calculate in more detail the first summand of the r.h.s. of equation (5.2). According to Lemma 5.5, $\dot{\phi}_{\mathfrak{h}} = X^*_{\partial M}(\mathcal{L}_{\partial\tau}\overline{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{h}})$, where we consider the orthogonal decomposition

$$\overline{\varphi} = \overline{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{h}} + d^*\alpha \in \Phi_{A_M} \subset \mathfrak{H}^1_N(|M|) \oplus d^*\Omega^2_N(|M|)$$

with $\overline{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{H}^1_N(|M|)$, $\alpha \in \Omega^2_N(|M|)$. Hence

$$\int_{\partial M} \phi' \wedge \star_{\partial M}\dot{\phi}_{\mathfrak{h}} = \int_{\partial M} \phi' \wedge \star_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}\big(\mathcal{L}_{\partial\tau}\overline{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{h}}\big) = \int_{\partial M} \phi' \wedge \star_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}\big(d\overline{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{h}}\big) = 0.$$

In the last line we have used the properties described for $X^*_{\partial M}$ given in Lemma 4.1 and $d\overline{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$.

Now consider the first summand of the l.h.s. of equation (5.2), the extension $\tilde{\varphi} := \psi \cdot \overline{\varphi^{\varepsilon}} \in \Omega^1_N(|M|)$ of $\overline{\varphi^{\varepsilon}} \in \Omega^1(|\partial M_\varepsilon|)$, given by a partition of unity

$$\psi\colon\ M \to [0,1], \qquad \text{such that} \quad \partial M = \psi^{-1}(1). \tag{5.3}$$

Then

$$\int_{\partial M} \phi_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \star_{\partial M} \dot{\phi}' = \int_{\partial M} \phi_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \star_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau} \tilde{\varphi}) = \int_{\partial M} \phi_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge X^*_{\partial M}(\star d\tilde{\varphi}).$$

Furthermore, Lemma 5.5 claims that there exists $\overline{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{H}^1_N(|M|)$ such that $\phi_{\mathfrak{h}} = X^*_{\partial M}(\overline{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{h}})$. Therefore by Stokes' theorem

$$\int_{\partial M} \phi_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \star_{\partial M} \dot{\phi}' = \int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}(\overline{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \star d\tilde{\varphi}) = \int_M d(\overline{\varphi}_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \star d\tilde{\varphi}) = 0.$$

Therefore equation (5.2) yields

$$\int_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\alpha) \wedge \star_{\partial M} \dot{\phi}' = \int_{\partial M} \phi' \wedge \star_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\dot{\alpha}). \tag{5.4}$$

According to the isomorphism $H^1(|M|) \simeq \mathfrak{H}^1_N(|M|)$ in Lemma 5.1, $[\tilde{\varphi}] \in H^1(|M|)$ corresponds to a harmonic field

$$[\tilde{\varphi}] \leftrightarrow \overline{\varphi}'_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{H}^1_N(|M|), \tag{5.5}$$

and this in turn corresponds to the harmonic component $\phi'_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{H}^1(|\partial M|)$ of

$$X^*_{\partial M}(\overline{\varphi'}) = \phi' = \phi'_{\mathfrak{h}} + d^{\star_{\partial M}} \beta$$

with $\beta \in \Omega^2(|\partial M|)$, as is stated in Lemma 5.5. Thus, for the r.h.s. of equation (5.4) we have

$$\int_{\partial M} \phi'_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \star_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\dot{\alpha}) + \int_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} \beta \wedge \star_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\dot{\alpha}).$$

Notice that $\partial\partial M = 0$, therefore the last expression equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\partial M} d\phi'_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge \star_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}(\dot{\alpha}) + \int_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} \beta \wedge \star_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\dot{\alpha}) \\ &\qquad = \int_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} \beta \wedge \star_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\dot{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $\dot{\phi}' = \dot{\phi}_{\mathfrak{h}} + d^{\star_{\partial M}} \dot{\beta}$ with $\dot{\phi}_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{H}^1(|\partial M|)$, $\dot{\beta} \in \Omega^2(|\partial M|)$ and therefore the l.h.s. of equation (5.4) equals $\int_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} \dot{\beta} \wedge \star_{\partial M} d^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\alpha)$.

$$\int_{\partial M} \beta \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\dot{\alpha}) = \int_{\partial M} \dot{\beta} \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\alpha). \tag{5.6}$$

Finally this eqution describes a condition on pairs $\beta, \dot{\beta} \in \Omega^2(|\partial M|)$, $\forall\, \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \in \Omega^2_N(|\partial M_\varepsilon|) \subset \Omega^2_N(|M|)$. Again by Stokes' theorem applied to the r.h.s. of the previous expression (5.6) we have

$$\int_{\partial M} \beta \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\dot{\alpha}) = \int_M d\tilde{\dot{\beta}} \wedge \star dd^{\star}(\alpha), \qquad \forall\, \alpha \in \Omega^2_N(|M|), \tag{5.7}$$

where $\tilde{\dot{\beta}} = \psi \cdot \dot{\beta} \in \Omega^2_N(|M|)$ is an extension of a 2-form in the cylinder $\dot{\beta} \in \Omega^2(|\partial M|)$ to the interior of M, given by a partition of unity ψ, (5.3).

Recall that since $\overline{\varphi} \in \Phi_{A_M}$, then $d^\star dd^\star \alpha = 0$. From the orthogonal decomposition

$$\Omega^3(|M|) = d\Omega^2_D(|M|) \oplus \mathfrak{H}^3_N(|M|) \oplus \big(\mathfrak{H}^3(|M|) \cap d\Omega^2(|M|)\big) \oplus d^\star\Omega^4_N(|N|)$$

we have $dd^\star\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}^3(|M|) \cap d\Omega^2(|M|)$. By the non-degeneracy of the Hodge inner product in M, there is a well defined exact harmonic field $d\check{\beta} \in \mathfrak{H}^3(|M|) \cap d\Omega^2$, that is the projection of $d\tilde{\beta}$, such that $d^\star d\check{\beta} = 0$, and the r.h.s. of (5.7) reads as

$$\int_M d\check{\beta} \wedge \star dd^\star(\alpha),$$

therefore

$$\int_{\partial M} \beta \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\dot{\alpha}) = \int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}\left(\check{\beta}\right) \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\alpha). \tag{5.8}$$

On the other hand consider the l.h.s. of (5.7). Recall that $\beta \in \Omega^2(|\partial M|) = \mathfrak{H}^2(|\partial M|) \oplus d\Omega^1(|\partial M|) \oplus d^*\Omega^3(|\partial M|)$, in fact we can take

$$\beta = \beta_{\mathfrak{h}} + d\gamma \in \mathfrak{H}^2(|\partial M|) \oplus d\Omega^1(|\partial M|).$$

Consider the extension $\tilde{\beta} := \psi\beta$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \tilde{\beta}_{\mathfrak{h}} + d(\check{\gamma} + \gamma_D) + d^*\theta \in \Omega^2(|M|)\\ &= \mathfrak{H}^2_N(|M|) \oplus \big(\mathfrak{H}^2(|M|) \cap d\Omega^1(|M|)\big) \oplus d\Omega^1_D(|M|) \oplus d^*\Omega^3_N(|M|).\end{aligned}$$

If we take the orthogonal projection of $\tilde{\beta}$,

$$\hat{\beta} := \tilde{\beta}_{\mathfrak{h}} + d\hat{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{H}^2_N(|M|) \oplus \big(\mathfrak{H}^2(|M|) \cap d\Omega^1(|M|)\big) \oplus d\Omega^1_D(|M|), \hat{\gamma} = \check{\gamma} + \gamma_D, \tag{5.9}$$

then $X^*_{\partial M}(\tilde{\beta}_{\mathfrak{h}}) = \beta_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $X^*_{\partial M}(\hat{\gamma}) = \gamma$. Also for 3-forms as arguments of $dd^{\star_{\partial M}}$ we have the functionals

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}\big(\tilde{\beta}\big) \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} \cdot &= \int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}\big(\tilde{\beta}_{\mathfrak{h}} + d\hat{\gamma}\big) \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} \cdot,\\ \int_{\partial M} (\beta_{\mathfrak{h}} + d\gamma) \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} \cdot &= \int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}\big(\hat{\beta}\big) \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} \cdot .\end{aligned}$$

If we look more carefully the l.h.s. of expression (5.8), then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}(\hat{\beta}) \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}(\alpha)) &= \int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}(\hat{\beta}) \wedge X^*_{\partial M}\big(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau} \star (dd^\star\alpha)\big)\\ &= \int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\big(\hat{\beta} \wedge \star(dd^\star\alpha)\big) + \int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}\big(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\hat{\beta}\big) \wedge X^*_{\partial M}\big(\star(dd^\star\alpha)\big)\\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\left(\int_M d\big(\hat{\beta} \wedge \star(dd^\star\alpha)\big)\right) + \int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}\big(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\hat{\beta}\big) \wedge X^*_{\partial M}\big(\star(dd^\star\alpha)\big)\\ &= \int_{\partial M} \dot{\beta} \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\alpha),\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used $X^*_{\partial M}(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\hat{\beta}) = X^*_{\partial M}(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\beta) = \dot{\beta}$ and that $\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}\int_M \hat{\beta}\wedge\star dd^\star\alpha = 0$. Hence

$$\int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}(\hat{\beta}) \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau}(\alpha)\right) = \int_{\partial M} \dot{\beta} \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\alpha).$$

Looking back again at expression (5.8) and (5.6) we have

$$\int_{\partial M} \dot{\beta} \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\alpha) = \int_{\partial M} \beta \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\dot{\alpha})$$
$$= \int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}(\check{\beta}) \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\alpha).$$

Hence for every α we have

$$\int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau} \hat{\beta}) \wedge X^*_{\partial M}\big(\star\,(dd^\star \alpha)\big) = \int_{\partial M} X^*_{\partial M}(\check{\beta}) \wedge \star_{\partial M} dd^{\star_{\partial M}} X^*_{\partial M}(\alpha).$$

This implies that $X^*_{\partial M}(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau} \hat{\beta}) = X^*_{\partial M}(\check{\beta})$.

Finally we can extend the solution $\overline{\varphi^\varepsilon}$ in the cylinder ∂M_ε to a solution in the interior M, by means of

$$\overline{\varphi}' := \overline{\varphi}'_{\mathfrak{h}} + d^\star(\hat{\beta}),$$

where $\overline{\varphi}'_{\mathfrak{h}}$ was defined in (5.5) and $\hat{\beta}$ is defined in (5.9). Notice that $d^* dd^* \hat{\beta} = d^* dd^* d\gamma = 0$, therefore $\overline{\varphi}' \in \Phi_{A_M}$. Furthermore,

$$\phi' = X^*_{\partial M}(\overline{\varphi}'), \qquad \dot{\phi}' = X^*_{\partial M}(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\tau} \overline{\varphi}'). \qquad \blacksquare$$

This finishes the proof of the validity of Axiom A10.

As we mentioned in Subsection 3.2, locality follows for fields and actions, in particular Axiom A11 hold. The gluing Axiom A12 also follows from locality arguments. This completes the dynamical description for this gauge field theory.

Thus abelian theory is fully constructed within this axiomatic framework.

6 Example: 2-dimensional case

For a better understanding of our model, we review our constructions in a more down to earth example, namely the 2-dimensional case. We provide this presentation as a comparison tool with some quantizations of two-dimensional theories, see for instance [8, 15, 27]. This also suggest the steps that are necessary in quantization for general dimensions in further research.

Recall that we are supposing that we have a trivial gauge principal bundles on a compact surface M, with structure group $G = U(1)$. The following lemma will lead to a description of the presymplectic structure $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$, on $\tilde{A}_\Sigma$, for a proof see [13]. Lemma 4.1 in this case can be simplified as the following statement.

Lemma 6.1 (Fermi). *Given a cylinder $\Sigma \times [0,1]$, there exists an embedding*

$$X\colon\ \Sigma \times [0,\varepsilon] \to M$$

of the cylinder $\Sigma \times [0,\varepsilon]$ into a tubular neighborhood Σ_ε of Σ, such that if (s,τ) are local coordinates, then $\partial/\partial s$, $\partial/\partial\tau$ are orthonormal vector fields along Σ. Here s corresponds to arc length along Σ with respect to the Riemannian metric h on M. Furthermore $h|_\Sigma$ is locally described as the identity matrix.

The presymplectic structure can be written by using these local coordinate as in (4.1),

$$\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma \big[\eta^s(\partial_s \xi^\tau - \partial_\tau \xi^s) - \xi^s(\partial_s \eta^\tau - \partial\tau \eta^s)\big] ds,$$

where $X^*_\Sigma(\eta) = \eta^s ds + \eta^\tau d\tau$, $X^*_\Sigma(\xi) = \xi^s ds + \xi^\tau d\tau$ are 1-forms corresponding to solutions in the cylinder, i.e., $\xi, \eta \in \Omega^1(\Sigma_\varepsilon)$ satisfying Euler–Lagrange equations. We can also describe the gauge group G_Σ on A_Σ, by considering the action of the identity component gauge group of germs: $\tilde{G}^0_\Sigma := \varinjlim G^0_{\Sigma_\varepsilon}$. Here $G^0_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} := \Omega^0(\Sigma_\varepsilon)/\mathbb{R}^{b_0}$ is acting by translations $\eta \mapsto \eta + df$ and inducing the corresponding action $\tilde{\eta} \mapsto \tilde{\eta} + d\tilde{f}$ on germs $\tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{L}_\Sigma$.

The degeneracy subspace of the symplectic form is

$$K_{\omega_\Sigma} := \big\{\tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{L}_\Sigma \,|\, \eta = \partial_\tau f d\tau,\ \partial_s f(s,0) = 0,\ f \in \Omega^0(\Sigma_\varepsilon)\big\}.$$

From this very definition we have that the degeneracy gauge symmetry group K_{ω_Σ} is a (normal) subgroup of the abelian group $\tilde{G}^0_\Sigma$.

By considering an axial gauge fixing, as in (4.2), let

$$\Phi_{\tilde{A}_\Sigma} := \big\{\overline{\eta} \in \tilde{L}_\Sigma \,|\, \iota_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\eta} = 0\big\}$$

be a subspace of $\tilde{L}_\Sigma$. As we did in Lemma 4.3 we have that every K_{ω_Σ}-orbit in $\tilde{L}_\Sigma$ intersects in just one point the subspace $\Phi_{\tilde{A}_\Sigma}$. The presymplectic form $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$ restricted to the subspace $\Phi_{\tilde{A}_\Sigma}$ may be written as

$$\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \big[-\eta^s \partial_\tau \xi^s + \xi^s \partial_\tau \eta^s\big] ds, \qquad \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{L}_\Sigma. \tag{6.1}$$

Hence $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$ is non-degenerate when we restrict it to the subspace $\Phi_{\tilde{A}_\Sigma} \subset \tilde{L}_\Sigma$.

Let ω_Σ the corresponding *symplectic* structure on A_Σ induced by the restriction of $\tilde{\omega}_\Sigma$ to the subspace $\Phi_{\tilde{A}_\Sigma} \subset \tilde{L}_\Sigma$.

Hypersurfaces are $\Sigma := \Sigma^1 \cup \cdots \cup \Sigma^m \subset \partial M$. In the smooth case Σ^i are homeomorphic to S^1. In the case with corners, Σ^i are intervals identified in some pairs by their boundaries.

Then there is a linear map

$$\Omega^1_{\partial M} \to \Omega^1\big(\Sigma^1\big) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega^1\big(\Sigma^m\big),$$

where $\eta \mapsto \big(X_0^{\Sigma^1}\big)^*(\eta) \oplus \cdots \oplus \big(X_0^{\Sigma^m}\big)^*(\eta)$. This map induces $r_{\partial M;\Sigma}\colon L_{\partial M} \to= L_{\Sigma^1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{\Sigma^m}$. Furthermore, the chain decomposition $\int_{\partial M} \cdot = \int_{\Sigma^1} \cdot + \cdots + \int_{\Sigma^m} \cdot$ induces Axioms A7′ and A7.

Recall that here is a map, $\tilde{r}_M\colon L_M \to \tilde{L}_{\partial M}$, coming from the restriction of the solutions to germs on the boundary. Composing with the quotient class map, we have a map $r_M\colon L_M \to L_{\partial M}$. Let $L_{\tilde{M}}$ be the image $r_M(L_M)$ under this map.

Our aim is to describe the image $L_{\tilde{M}} = r_M(L_M) \subset L_\Sigma$ of the space of solutions as a Lagrangian subspace modulo gauge.

Take $\varphi \in L_M$ so that $d^\star d\varphi = 0$, then $d\varphi$ is constant a scalar multiple of the h-area form μ, i.e., $d\varphi = \dot{c}_\varphi \mu$, for a constant $\dot{c}_\varphi$. Suppose that $\overline{\varphi} \in L_M$ is such that $\iota_{\partial_\tau}\overline{\varphi} = 0$, then $\overline{\varphi}^\tau = 0$. Hence $\partial_s \overline{\varphi}^\tau - \partial_\tau \overline{\varphi}^s = \dot{c}_\varphi$ is constant. That is, $-\partial_\tau \overline{\varphi}^s = \dot{c}_\varphi$. Therefore if $\phi := r_M(\overline{\varphi})$, $\phi' := r_M(\overline{\varphi}') \in L_{\partial M}$, then by substituting in (6.1) we obtain

$$\omega_{\partial M}\big(\overline{\varphi}, \overline{\varphi}'\big) = \int_{\partial M} \big(\varphi^s \dot{c}_{\varphi'} - (\varphi')^s \dot{c}_\varphi\big)\, ds, \qquad \forall\, \overline{\varphi}, \overline{\varphi}' \in L_{\partial M}.$$

Recall that $\varphi, \varphi' \in L_{\partial M_\varepsilon}$. By Stokes' theorem

$$\omega_{\partial M}\left(\overline{\varphi}, \overline{\varphi}'\right) = \dot{c}_{\varphi'} \int_M d\overline{\varphi} - \dot{c}_\varphi \int_M d\overline{\varphi}' = (\dot{c}_{\varphi'} \dot{c}_\varphi - \dot{c}_\varphi \dot{c}_{\varphi'}) \cdot \mathrm{area}(M) = 0, \tag{6.2}$$

where $\mathrm{area}(M) := \int_M \mu$.

We now consider the orbit space for gauge orbits. We consider the unit component subgroup $G^0_\Sigma \trianglelefteq G_\Sigma$. Recall the map (4.6). Take the gauge fixing subspace

$$\Phi_{A_\Sigma} := \{(\eta_0, \dot\eta_0) \in A_\Sigma \,|\, \partial_s\eta_0 = 0 = \partial_s\dot\eta_0\} = \{(cds, \dot c ds) \in A_\Sigma \,|\, (c, \dot c) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}.$$

We can see the proof of Lemma 4.4 for this context. Let $(cds, \dot c ds)$ be a point in $\Phi_{A_\Sigma} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$. Consider $X^*_\Sigma(\eta) = \eta^s ds + \eta^\tau d\tau = \eta^s ds$, a local expression for a solution $\eta \in L_{\Sigma_\varepsilon} \cap \Phi_{\tilde A_\Sigma}$. By considering a gauge symmetry we can get an ODE for $f\colon \Sigma_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\eta^s + \partial_s f = c, \tag{6.3}$$
$$\partial_\tau\eta^s + \partial_\tau\partial_s f = \dot c \tag{6.4}$$

Equation (6.4) can be solved for $g(s,\tau) := \partial_s f$, once we can fix the boundary condition $\partial_s f(s,0) = g(s,0)$. This boundary condition in turn can be obtained by solving (6.3) in Σ. The holonomy along Σ,

$$\mathrm{hol}_\Sigma(\eta) = \exp\sqrt{-1}\int_\Sigma \eta \in G = U(1)$$

remains the same for c and for η, furthermore since they are in the same component, $\int_\Sigma cds$ equals $\int_\Sigma \eta^s ds$ mod $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Here η belongs to the G^0_Σ-orbit of c, therefore there is a homotopy between both evaluations. Hence

$$c \cdot \mathrm{length}(\Sigma) = \int_\Sigma cds = \int_\Sigma \eta^s ds$$

this implies that equation (6.3) can be solved.

Lemma 5.3 is also satisfied. It follows that $L_{\tilde M} \cap \Phi_{A_{\partial M}} = r_M(\Phi_{A_M})$. The isotropic embedding described in Theorem 5.6 is proved in (6.2). The corresponding coisotropic embedding in the 2-dimensional version goes as follows:

Take $\varphi \in \Phi_{A_M}$, $\phi = r_M(\varphi)$ and suppose that $\omega_{\partial M}(\phi, \phi') = 0$ for every $\varphi' \in L_{\partial M_\varepsilon}$, with $\phi' \in L_{\partial M}$ corresponding to φ'. Then

$$\dot c_\varphi \int_{\partial M} (\varphi')^s ds = \int_{\partial M} \left(\varphi^s \partial_\tau (\varphi')^s\right) ds.$$

Since φ' is a solution in a tubular neighborhood ∂M_ε then $\partial_\tau(\varphi')^s|_\Sigma = \dot c_{\varphi'}$. Thus

$$\dot c_\varphi \int_{\partial M} (\varphi')^s ds = \dot c_{\varphi'} \int_{\partial M} \varphi^s ds = \dot c_\varphi \dot c_{\varphi'} \int_M \mu$$

therefore

$$\int_{\partial M} \varphi' = \dot c_{\varphi'} \cdot \mathrm{area}(M).$$

We claim that this is a sufficient condition, so that $\varphi' \in L_{\partial M_\varepsilon}$ can be extended to the interior of M. There exists a solution $\check\varphi \in L_M$ such that $\varphi' = r_M(\check\varphi)$. This will be an exercise of calculus of differential forms.

The first step is to construct an extension $\theta = \psi\varphi \in \Omega^1(M)$, where we take a partition of unity ψ whose value on ∂M_ε is 1 and is 0 outside an open neighborhood $V \subset M$ of ∂M_ε. We see that $\dot c_{\varphi'} d\theta$ is closed and also has the same relative de Rham cohomology class in $H^2_{dR}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{R})$ as $\dot c_{\varphi'}\mu$. Thus $\dot c_{\varphi'}(d\theta - \mu) = \dot c_{\varphi'} d\beta$, for a 1-form β such that $\beta|_{\partial M} = 0$. Therefore we can define $\check\varphi := \theta - \beta$ such that it is a solution. Therefore $d\check\varphi = \dot c_{\varphi'}\mu$ and it is also an extension, $\check\varphi|_{M_\varepsilon} = \varphi'|_{M_\varepsilon}$.

This proves in the 2-dimensional case the Lagrangian embedding of Theorem 5.6. Nevertheless we should notice that the proof of coisotropy is rather obvious in this case, since the reduced symplectic space A_Σ/G_Σ is finite-dimensional.

Notice that in this case the bilinear form $[\cdot,\cdot]_\Sigma$ used in Axiom A4, corresponds to

$$\left[\phi^\eta, \phi^\xi\right]_\Sigma := -\int_\Sigma \left(\eta^s \partial_\tau \xi^s\right) ds.$$

Here $(cds, \dot{c}ds) \in \Phi_{A_\Sigma}$ can be identified with $c + \sqrt{-1}\dot{c} \in \mathbb{C}$, provided with the Kähler structure: $\text{length}(\Sigma) \cdot dc \wedge d\dot{c}$. The holonomy $\text{hol}_\Sigma \colon \Omega^1(\Sigma) \to U(1)$ induces the derivative map $D\text{hol}_\Sigma \colon \Phi_{A_\Sigma} \to TU(1)$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_{A_\Sigma} & \xrightarrow[D\,\text{hol}_\Sigma]{} & TU(1) \\ \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\exp} & \mathbb{C}^\times \end{array}$$

We can finally define the reduced space as the topological cylinder

$$A_\Sigma/G_\Sigma := \Phi_{A_\Sigma}/\overline{G_\Sigma} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

where $\overline{G_\Sigma} := G_\Sigma/G_\Sigma^0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. We can get the symplectic structure $\overline{\omega_\Sigma}$ on A_Σ/G_Σ. This $\overline{\omega_\Sigma}$ is $\text{length}(\Sigma)$ times the area form on the cylinder $TU(1)$. The *reduced symplectic structure*: $\overline{\omega_\Sigma}$ on A_Σ/G_Σ, is $\text{length}(\Sigma)$ times the area form on the cylinder $TU(1)$. For $\partial M = \Sigma^1 \cup \cdots \cup \Sigma^m$:

$$A_M/G_M \to A_{\partial M}/G_{\partial M} = TU(1) \times \cdots \times TU(1).$$

The space L_M has Lagrangian image which in each factor is the quotiented line:

$$\left\{(c, \dot{c}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, c \cdot \text{length}(\partial M) = \dot{c} \cdot \text{area}(M)\right\}/\mathbb{Z} \subset TU(1).$$

As a consequence the map $A_M/G_M \to A_{\partial M}/G_{\partial M}$ does depend on global data of the metric, such as $\text{area}(M)$ and $\text{length}(\partial M)$. Recall that the same global dependence of dynamics holds for the quantum version, i.e., the quantum TQFT version of gauge fields.

Once we have completed reduction, the picture of quantization on this finite-dimensional space can be specified, cf. [8, 15, 27]. For a complete description of quantization in 2-dimensions in general non abelian case with corners see [20].

7 Outlook: quantization in higher dimensions

The geometric quantization program with corners will be treated elsewhere. Once the reduction-quantization procedure is completed, the next task is the formulation of the quantization-reduction process and the equivalence of both procedures. See the discussion of these issues in dimension two for instance in [8, 15, 27]. In order to administer the geometric quantization program [28] for the reduced space we need to describe a suitable hermitian structure in Φ_{A_Σ}. Another work in progress with more physical applications is the formulation corresponding to Lorentzian manifolds rather than the Riemannian case.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks R. Oeckl for several discussions and encouragement for writing this note at CCM-UNAM. This work was partially supported through a CONACYT-México postdoctoral grant. The author also thanks the referees for their comments and suggestions.

References

[1] Al-Zamil Q.S.A., Montaldi J., Witten–Hodge theory for manifolds with boundary and equivariant cohomology, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **30** (2012), 179–194, arXiv:1004.2687.

[2] Ancona V., Gaveau B., Okada M., Harmonic forms and cohomology of singular stratified spaces, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **131** (2007), 422–456.

[3] Arbieto A., Matheus C., A pasting lemma and some applications for conservative systems, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **27** (2007), 1399–1417, math.DS/0601433.

[4] Atiyah M., Topological quantum field theories, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1988), 175–186.

[5] Cattaneo A.S., Mnev P., Reshetikhin N., Classical and quantum Lagrangian field theories with boundary, *PoS Proc. Sci.* (2011), PoS(CORFU2011), 044, 25 pages, arXiv:1207.0239.

[6] Cattaneo A.S., Mnev P., Reshetikhin N., Semiclassical quantization of classical field theories, in Mathematical Aspects of Quantum Field Theories, Editors D. Calaque, T. Strobl, *Mathematical Physics Studies*, Springer, Berlin, 2015, 275–324, arXiv:1311.2490.

[7] Dacorogna B., Moser J., On a partial differential equation involving the Jacobian determinant, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **7** (1990), 1–26.

[8] Driver B.K., Hall B.C., Yang–Mills theory and the Segal–Bargmann transform, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **201** (1999), 249–290, hep-th/9808193.

[9] Duff G.F.D., Differential forms in manifolds with boundary, *Ann. of Math.* **56** (1952), 115–127.

[10] Duff G.F.D., Spencer D.C., Harmonic tensors on Riemannian manifolds with boundary, *Ann. of Math.* **56** (1952), 128–156.

[11] Gelca R., Topological quantum field theory with corners based on the Kauffman bracket, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **72** (1997), 216–243, q-alg/9603002.

[12] Giachetta G., Mangiattori L., Sardanashvily G., Advanced classical field theory, World Sci. Publ., Singapore, 2009.

[13] Iliev B.Z., Handbook of normal frames and coordinates, *Progress in Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 42, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.

[14] Kijowski J., Tulczyjew W.M., A symplectic framework for field theories, *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, Vol. 107, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – New York, 1979.

[15] Landsman N.P., Mathematical topics between classical and quantum mechanics, *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[16] Lauda A.D., Pfeiffer H., Open-closed strings: two-dimensional extended TQFTs and Frobenius algebras, *Topology Appl.* **155** (2008), 623–666, math.AT/0510664.

[17] Milnor J.W., Topology from the differentiable viewpoint, Based on notes by David W. Weaver, The University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville, Va., 1965.

[18] Moser J., On the volume elements on a manifold, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1965), 286–294.

[19] Oeckl R., General boundary quantum field theory: foundations and probability interpretation, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **12** (2008), 319–352, hep-th/0509122.

[20] Oeckl R., Two-dimensional quantum Yang–Mills theory with corners, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** (2008), 135401, 20 pages, hep-th/0608218.

[21] Oeckl R., Affine holomorphic quantization, *J. Geom. Phys.* **62** (2012), 1373–1396, arXiv:1104.5527.

[22] Oeckl R., Holomorphic quantization of linear field theory in the general boundary formulation, *SIGMA* **8** (2012), 050, 31 pages, arXiv:1009.5615.

[23] Sati H., Duality and cohomology in M-theory with boundary, *J. Geom. Phys.* **62** (2012), 1284–1297, arXiv:1012.4495.

[24] Schwarz G., Hodge decomposition – a method for solving boundary value problems, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 1607, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[25] Segal G., The definition of conformal field theory, in Topology, Geometry and Quantum Field Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004, 421–577.

[26] Weinstein A., Symplectic categories, *Port. Math.* **67** (2010), 261–278, arXiv:0911.4133.

[27] Witten E., Two-dimensional gauge theories revisited, *J. Geom. Phys.* **9** (1992), 303–368, hep-th/9204083.

[28] Woodhouse N.M.J., Geometric quantization, 2nd ed., *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.